



TOPICS DISCUSSED

- Properties of spent fuel that influence radionuclide release behavior
- Methods used for testing release behavior of spent fuel in the laboratory
 - Semi-static tests
 - Flow-through tests
- Highlights of laboratory test results

WHY TEST SPENT FUEL DISSOLUTION BEHAVIOR?

- Spent fuel will likely be the dominant source (compared to glass) of soluble radionuclide (99Tc, 14C, 135Cs, 129I...) release
- Tests with real fuel specimens are required to obtain needed data such as
 - Dissolution rates for soluble radionuclides (initial and continuous)
 - -- Identification of secondary phases controlling solubilities
 - Amounts of radionuclides present as colloids
- Results provide solubility and secondary phase data for validation of geochemical models such as EQ3/6

FACTORS AFFECTING RELEASE



* Indicates properties measured in dissolution tests

SOLUBLE RADIONUCLIDE RELEASE



Time

- Rapid release of "gap inventories" with initial water contact (days)
- Preferential release from grain boundaries and other sources of radionuclide concentration (years)
- Releases are controlled by matrix dissolution after exposed grain boundaries and other sources of radionuclide concentration become depleted (assuming fuel is not substantially degraded by oxidation)

TESTING METHODS

Semi-Static

- Periodic solution samples, sequential test cycles
- Gives data on steady-state actinide concentrations, secondary phases and soluble radionuclide release ("gap" and continuous) rates
- Matrix dissolution rates are not measured
- Three test series conducted during FY-1983 through FY-1987

Flow-Through

- Matrix dissolution rates can be measured
- Developmental tests conducted with unirradiated UO₂ during FY-1989 and FY-1990



SEMI-STATIC TEST APPARATUS SERIES 3 TEST CONFIGURATIONS



HEDL \$512-122.1

FLOW-THROUGH TESTING



- OBJECTIVE To measure uranium and soluble nuclide dissolution in a test where all measured species remain in solution
- FLOW RATE Sufficiently high such that all dissolved uranium remains in solution, but low enough so that soluble nuclides reach measurable concentrations (a difficult compromise)

SEMI-STATIC TEST IDENTIFICATION FOR FOLLOWING SLIDES



Series 2 -- J-13 water, unsealed glass vessels Series 3 -- J-13 water, sealed stainless steel vessels

ACTINIDE RESULTS

Semi-Static Tests - U, Np, Pu, Am, Cm

Actinides rapidly reach steady-state concentrations

- Suggests that actinide release will be solubility limited and not depend on particular characteristics of the spent fuel
- However, more data is needed to assess the effects of colloids
- Sample filtration results suggest actinides were present as colloids (particularly Am & Cm in 25°C tests)
- Actinide concentrations tended to be lower at 85°C than at 25°C
 - Kinetic factors appear to favor precipitation of secondary phases over colloid formation at the higher temperature
- Order of temperature and filtration effects: Np < U < Pu < Am & Cm



Pu-239 + 240 ACTIVITY IN 0.4 μm FILTERED SOLUTION SAMPLES



Actinide Annual Releases as Fractions of 1000-Year Inventories Based on HBR-3-25 Test Data

<u>Actinide</u> U	<u>Log (M)</u>	<u>Log (Release)</u>
	-5.9	-8.6
Np	-8.9	-8.8
Pu	-8.4	-9.0
Am	-9.8	-9.1

Based on approximate steady-state concentrations measured in 0.4 μm filtered samples during Cycles 2 & 3 of HBR-3-25 test

Calculated annual releases assume water flow rate of 20 L/yr per waste package containing 3140 kg of 33,000 MWd/MTM burnup fuel

Concentrations of Low-Solubility Nuclides will be Controlled by Secondary Phases

Uranophane [CaO•2UO₃•2SiO₂•6H₂O] Crystals Formed on Fuel Surface During HBR-3-85 Test



10 µm

SOLUBLE RADIONUCLIDE RELEASES MEASURED IN SEMI-STATIC TESTS*

- ⁹⁹Tc, ¹³⁷Cs, ⁹⁰Sr and ¹²⁹I release rates (inventory fraction per year)
 5 x 10⁻⁵ to 2.5 x 10⁻⁴ at 25°C
 3 x 10⁻⁴ to 1.2 x 10⁻³ at 85°C
- ¹⁴C
 - ~ 1% of specimen inventory released in first year
 - Release from fuel (matrix, gap and grain boundaries) was much greater than from cladding exterior
 - Released as CO₂ from unsealed vessels

^{*} Tests with as-irradiated fuel particles with geometric surface area ~2.5 cm²/g

RADIONUCLIDE ACTIVITIES MEASURED IN SOLUTION DURING THE TP-3-85 TEST



15

FRACTION IN SOLUTION

HBR-3-85 Test



Time (Days)

FRACTION IN SOLUTION

TP-3-85 Test



Time (Days)

17

⁹⁹Tc Measured in Solution

Effects of Temperature and Oxidation (O/M)



18



NOTES: Auger microprobe examination of particle at N1 indicated 5 nm Ca-Si-U surface layer which was partially redissolved from particle examined at time N2

Constant Flow Rate, 0.2 mL/min



SUMMARY

- Actinide releases appear to be solubility limited
- · Soluble nuclide releases will be complicated to model
 - Fuel is nonhomogeneous gap, grain boundary and matrix components of release
 - Fuel degradation state of fuel and surface area change with time
- Soluble nuclide releases measured in semi-static tests
 - ¹³⁷Cs and ¹⁴C; ~ 1% of inventory in first year
 - ⁹⁹Tc, ¹³⁷Cs, ⁹⁰Sr and ¹²⁹I; ~10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³ of inventory per year in later test cycles

Additional information needs

- Radionuclide distributions in spent fuel, particularly ¹⁴C
- Dissolution behavior of oxidized fuel and other fuel types
- Effects of colloids
- Effects of water conditions on matrix dissolution rates
- Time-dependent model for exposed fuel surface area

REFERENCES

Semi-static and flow-through test methods

 Wilson C. N. and W. J. Gray. 1989. "Measurement of Soluble Nuclide Dissolution Rates From Spent Fuel." <u>Scientific Basis for</u> <u>Nuclear Waste Management XIII</u>. Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings, 176:489-498.

Comparison of EQ3/6 results with results from laboratory tests

 Wilson, C. N. and C. J. Bruton. 1989. "Studies on Spent Fuel Dissolution Under Yucca Mountain Repository Conditions." <u>Ceramic</u> <u>Transactions</u>, 9:423-441. (also UCRL-100223.)

Semi-static test results

 Wilson, C. N. 1990. <u>Results from NNWSI Series 3 Spent Fuel</u> <u>Dissolution Tests</u>. PNL-7170, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland Washington.

Flow-through test results

 Wilson C. N. and W. J. Gray. 1990. "Effects of Water Composition on the Dissolution Rate of UO₂ Under Oxidizing Conditions." <u>High</u> <u>Level Radioactive Waste Management</u>, pp 1431-6. (Proceedings of topical meeting, Las Vegas, NV, April 8-12, 1990)