



OVERVIEW

MULTIPLE FACTORS DETERMINE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- FEDERAL STATUTES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDERS
- WASTE INVENTORIES, UTILITY CONTRACTS, AND WASTE-ACCEPTANCE SCHEDULES
- EXTERNAL AND PROGRAMMATIC CONSIDERATIONS

STATUTORY BASIS FOR THE REPOSITORY PROGRAM

NWPA GOVERNS DEVELOPMENT OF REQUIREMENTS BY:

- DEFINING RESPONSIBILITIES FOR REPOSITORY
 DEVELOPMENT
 - DOE SITING, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONS, AND CLOSURE
 - EPA DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS
 - NRC DEVELOPMENT OF REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA FOR LICENSING
 - STATE GENERAL OVERSIGHT THROUGH CONSULTATION OR BROADER PARTICIPATION UNDER BENEFITS AGREEMENT

STATUTORY BASIS FOR THE REPOSITORY PROGRAM

NWPA GOVERNS DEVELOPMENT OF REQUIREMENTS BY (CONTINUED) :

- SPECIFYING A DEVELOPMENTAL PROCESS FOR REPOSITORY SITING AND LICENSING
 - PROCESS INCLUDES SITE SCREENING, PREPARATION OF EAS, NOMINATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF SITES FOR CHARACTERIZATION, AND RECOMMENDATION OF SITE FOR REPOSITORY
 - PROCESS AMENDED IN 1987 TO DESIGNATE YUCCA MOUNTAIN AS ONLY SITE TO BE CHARACTERIZED
- ESTABLISHING CONSTRAINTS ON REPOSITORY SITING AND OPERATIONS
 - SITING CRITERIA AND CONSTRAINTS
 - CAPACITY LIMITS
 - LINKAGE TO OTHER WASTE MANAGMENT SYSTEM ELEMENTS

PRIMARY SOURCES OF DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- NWPA, AS AMENDED
- 10 CFR PART 60, AND REFERENCED REGULATIONS



OTHER SOURCES OF DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- NEPA
- ENVIRONMENTAL STATUTES AND IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS
- MSHA/OSHA REGULATIONS
- RCRA AND IMPLEMENTING EPA REGULATIONS
- DOE ORDERS

INVENTORY PROJECTIONS: WASTE QUANTITIES

SPENT FUEL FROM COMMERCIAL REACTORS (DOMINANT WASTE FORM)

• ASSUMING NO NEW ORDERS AND CUMULATIVE INVENTORY TO END-OF-REACTOR-LIFE: APPROXIMATELY 87,000 MTHM DISCHARGED THROUGH THE YEAR 2037





INVENTORY PROJECTIONS: WASTE QUANTITIES

HIGH-LEVEL WASTE

- CIVILIAN: APPROXIMATELY 300 CANISTERS (BOROSILICATE GLASS)
 - WEST VALLEY

• DEFENSE: APPROXIMATELY18,000 CANISTERS

- 6,000 SAVANNAH RIVER (BOROSILICATE GLASS)
- 1,000 HANFORD (BOROSILICATE GLASS)
- 11,000 INEL (WASTE FORM TBD)



STANDARD CONTRACT SPECIFIED IN 10 CFR PART 961

- ACCEPTANCE OF SPENT FUEL AND COMMERCIAL HLW TO BEGIN AFTER COMMENCEMENT OF FACILITY OPERATIONS AFTER JANUARY 1998
- OLDEST FUEL OR HLW WILL HAVE HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR ACCEPTANCE RIGHTS
- UTILITIES NEED NOT SHIP OLDEST FUEL FIRST (SUBJECT TO DOE APPROVAL)
- UTILITIES MAY TRADE RIGHTS TO SHIP FUEL (SUBJECT TO DOE APPROVAL)
- MINIMUM COOLING TIME FOR "STANDARD FUEL" IS 5 YEARS (ACCEPTANCE OF OTHER FUEL SUBJECT TO DOE APPROVAL)

WASTE ACCEPTANCE SCHEDULE

CONSTRAINTS ON WASTE ACCEPTANCE AND DESIGN

- MRS SCHEDULE AND CAPACITY LINKED TO REPOSITORY MILESTONES
- LINKAGES COULD CHANGE PER NEGOTIATED
 AGREEMENT FOR MRS SITE
- CAPACITY OF FIRST REPOSITORY LIMITED TO 70,000 MTHM; LINKED TO DECISION ON SECOND REPOSITORY
 - REPORT TO CONGRESS ON NEED FOR SECOND REPOSITORY ON OR AFTER JANUARY 2007
- FACILITY INTERFACE WITH TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

EXTERNAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN: NRC REGULATIONS

 WASTES CLASSIFIED AS GREATER-THAN-CLASS-C MUST BE DISPOSED OF IN A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY NRC

 GREATER-THAN-CLASS-C WASTE HAS NOT BEEN CONSIDERED IN THE CURRENT CONCEPTUAL DESIGNS

EXTERNAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN: NRC REGULATIONS

(CONTINUED)

- WASTE PACKAGE MUST PROVIDE "SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE CONTAINMENT" FOR 300-1000 YEARS FOLLOWING CLOSURE
- UNCERTAINTY EXISTS REGARDING HOW "SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE CONTAINMENT" WILL BE DEFINED OR INTERPRETED
- WASTE PACKAGE AND ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM
 MUST BE DESIGNED TO MEET PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES
 ASSUMING "ANTICIPATED PROCESSES AND EVENTS"
- UNCERTAINTY EXISTS REGARDING HOW "ANTICIPATED AND UNANTICIPATED EVENTS" WILL BE DEFINED OR INTERPRETED

PROGRAMMATIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN

- WILL SPENT FUEL BE CONSOLIDATED PRIOR TO PACKAGING FOR DISPOSAL?
- WILL POSSIBLE FUTURE NEED FOR REPOSITORY CAPACITY IN EXCESS OF 70,000 MTHM NEED TO BE CONSIDERED?
- SHOULD SPENT FUEL BE AGED PRIOR TO DISPOSAL?
- HOW WILL LINKAGE BETWEEN THE MRS AND THE REPOSITORY BE CHANGED?
- THERE WILL BE AN ITERATIVE FEEDBACK OF SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION INTO THE DESIGN PROCESS
- WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE INTERFACE BETWEEN ESF AND REPOSITORY?

PROGRAMMATIC DECISIONS ABOUT SITE CHARACTERIZATION AFFECTING REPOSITORY DESIGN

- DECISION THAT THERE WOULD BE NO CHARACTERIZATION TESTING WITH LIVE WASTE
- ESF SIZE (i.e., AREA AND DRIFT SIZE) SHOULD BE CONSTRAINED SO AS TO NOT APPEAR AS IF REPOSITORY CONSTRUCTION HAD STARTED
- EXPLORATORY FACILITIES AT ALL 3 SITES WERE TO BE SIMILAR TO FACILITATE SITE COMPARISONS
- TWO EXPLORATORY SHAFTS TO BE UTILIZED IN FACILITY FOR SAFETY
- ESF WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REPOSITORY DESIGN IF THE YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE IS FOUND SUITABLE

SUMMARY OF BASIS FOR DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- DESIGN REQUIREMENTS EVOLVE THROUGH TIME
- THEY <u>WILL</u> CHANGE AS SITE CHARACTERIZATION YIELDS DATA
- THEY MAY CHANGE IF THERE ARE CHANGES IN:
 - LEGISLATION
 - **REGULATIONS**
 - WASTE INVENTORIES, UTILITY CONTRACTS, OR SHIPPING SCHEDULES
 - **PROGRAMMATIC DECISIONS**