U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

PRESENTATION TO THE NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SUBJECT: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

PRESENTER: DAVID C. DOBSON

PRESENTER'S TITLE

AND ORGANIZATION: ACTING DIRECTOR

REGULATORY AND SITE EVALUATION DIVISION

PRESENTER'S

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (702) 794 - 7940

MARCH 1, 1991

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

- DOE BELIEVES A PERFORMANCE BASED PROBABILISTIC APPROACH IS APPROPRIATE FOR EVALUATING VOLCANIC HAZARDS AT THE REPOSITORY DURING THE POST-CLOSURE PERIOD.
- ALTHOUGH PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS HAVE BEEN REFINED SINCE 1982, THE RESULTING NUMBERS HAVE NOT CHANGED WITH THE ADDITIONAL FIELD EVIDENCE
 - THE CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY OF DISRUPTION OF A REPOSITORY BY FUTURE BASALTIC VOLCANISM IS APPROXIMATELY IN THE RANGE OF 10-8 TO 10-10-YR-1

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

(CONTINUED)

- WE BELIEVE THE CURRENT ESTIMATES ARE REASONABLE AND CONSERVATIVE FROM A GEOLOGIC PERSPECTIVE
 - FOR EXAMPLE, CURRENT CALCULATIONS ARE BASED ON RANDOM DISTRIBUTION OF VOLCANIC CENTERS
 - IN FACT, VOLCANIC CENTERS ARE PREFERENTIALLY LOCATED IN STRUCTURAL BASINS
 - THEREFORE, FACTORING IN STRUCTURAL CONTROLS LOWERS
 THE CALCULATED PROBABILITY
 - FURTHERMORE, REASONABLE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS WILL FURTHER REDUCE THE PROBABILITY OF RELEASES EXCEEDING THE STANDARD

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

(CONTINUED)

- DOE RECOGNIZES THAT THE ISSUE OF FUTURE VOLCANISM AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN IS A SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM IN TERMS OF PERCEPTION, IF NOT PERFORMANCE. IF THE NRC VIEW IS THAT "THE CHANCE OF VOLCANIC ERUPTIONS AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN IS FUNDAMENTALLY AN UNANSWERABLE QUESTION", (J. TRAPP, NRC), THAT WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT OUR VIEW OF THE CHANCE OF LICENSING YUCCA MOUNTAIN
- WITHIN THE NEXT 6-12 MONTHS DOE WILL DEVELOP A PAPER ON VOLCANIC RISK ASSESSMENT THAT IT INTENDS TO USE TO CLOSE THIS ISSUE

AGENDA

BRIEFING OF STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY AND GEOENGINEERING PANEL MEETING VOLCANIC HAZARDS AND VULNERABILITIES

TUCSON, ARIZONA MARCH 1, 1991

8:00 - 8:10	WELCOME AND OPENING REMARKS	C. ALLEN	NWTRB
8:10 - 8:30	INTRODUCTION	D. DOBSON C. JOHNSON	DOE STATE OF NV NRC
		R. SHAW	EPRI
	PROGRESS IN 1990		
8:30 - 9:30	OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS	B. CROWE	LANL
9:30 - 10:00	NEW GEOCHEMICAL DATA	F. PERRY	UNM
10:00 - 10:15	BREAK		
10:15 - 11:00	NEW RESULTS FROM THE STATE OF NV	E. SMITH	UNLV
11:00 - 11:30	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE		
11:30 - 12:30	LUNCH		

AGENDA

BRIEFING OF STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY AND GEOENGINEERING PANEL MEETING VOLCANIC HAZARDS AND VULNERABILITIES

TUCSON, ARIZONA MARCH 1, 1991

PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS

12:30 - 1:00 1:00 - 1:45 1:45 - 2:15 2:15 - 2:40	STATE OF NEVADA EPRI DOE NRC	C. HO M. SHERIDAN B. CROWE J. TRAPP	UNLV SUNYAB LANL NRC
	CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS		
2:40 - 3:10	INTRODUCTION - CONSEQUENCE AND PROBABILITY	B. CROWE	LANL
3:10 - 3:25	BREAK		
3:25 - 3:50	SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT, VOLCANIC PROCESSES & MATHEMATICAL MODELS	G. VALENTINE	LANL

AGENDA

BRIEFING OF STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY AND GEOENGINEERING PANEL MEETING VOLCANIC HAZARDS AND VULNERABILITIES

TUCSON, ARIZONA MARCH 1, 1991

3:50 <i>-</i> 4:50	ROUNDTABLE	DISCUSSION
--------------------	------------	------------

- 1. ON WHICH ISSUES IS A CONSENSUS DEVELOPING?
- 2. ON WHICH ISSUES ARE THERE SERIOUS DIFFERENCES?
- 3. ARE THESE ISSUES IMPORTANT WITH RESPECT TO SITE SUITABILITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY?
- 4. HOW CAN THESE ISSUES BE RESOLVED?

CONCLUSION

4:50 - 5:00 CLOSING REMARKS C. ALLEN NWTRB