· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OFFICE OF	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
NUCLEAR ENVIRONMENT	WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD AND PUBLIC HEALTH PANEL MEETING
SUBJECT:	YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT DESERT TORTOISE PROGRAM
PRESENTER:	DANNY RAKESTRAW
PRESENTER'S TITLE AND ORGANIZATION:	DESERT TORTOISE PROGRAM MANAGER EG&G ENERGY MEASUREMENTS
PRESENTER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER:	(702) 794-7475
	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA NOVEMBER 22, 1993

YMP DESERT TORTOISE PROGRAM

- Program Development
- Program Objectives
- Studies

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT

- 1. History
- 2. Goal Identification
- 3. Literature Review

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

Petitioned for Listing in 1984

Listed in August 1989

Biological Assessment Written in 1989

"No Jeopardy" Biological Opinion Rendered in 1990

Incidental Take of 15 Tortoises Allowed

Terms and Conditions Required the Program

GOAL OF THE YMP DESERT TORTOISE PROGRAM

To Conserve the Tortoise Population at Yucca Mountain and Ensure Compliance with the Endangered Species Act

- 1. Potential impacts of site characterization activities
- 2. Efficacy of mitigation techniques for minimizing impacts
- 3. Ecology of the desert tortoise

- **1.** Potential impacts of site characterization activities
- Types of activities <2 ha disturbed per activity >2 ha disturbed per activity
- Types of impacts Direct and/or Immediate Indirect and/or Cumulative
- Conclude: Direct negative effects and subtle cumulative effects must be addressed to achieve the goals of this program

Large and small disturbances should be considered

- 2. Efficacy of mitigation techniques for minimizing impacts
- Some information on the impacts of grazing, roads, and off-road driving
- No information on impacts similar to Site Characterization
- Little information on the efficacy of techniques to mitigate impacts
- Conclude: It is necessary to study the effectiveness of techniques to be used to mitigate impacts

- 3. Ecology of the desert tortoise
- Information is available on physiology, growth, and relative abundance
- Less known about movements, behavior, and survival, especially of small tortoises
- Tortoises at Yucca Mountain may differ from southern populations
- Conclude: It is necessary to study those aspects of the ecology of desert tortoises at Yucca Mountain needed to fulfill program goal



PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

- 1. Evaluate impacts of site characterization activities on tortoises
- 2. Mitigate impacts of site characterization activities to the maximum extent possible in order to minimize incidental take
- 3. Develop and test the efficacy of mitigation techniques
- 4. Obtain site-specific information on desert tortoise biology needed to achieve these other objectives

STUDIES

Studies/Procedures Addressing Direct and Immediate Effects

- Preactivity Surveys
- Impact Mitigation
- Relocation and Displacement
- Road Monitoring
- Ground Motion Effects

Studies Addressing Cumulative and Indirect Effects

- Reproduction
- Survival
- Behavior and Movements
- Health
- Diet
- Raven

PREACTIVITY SURVEYS

OBJECTIVE

Identify and Mitigate Direct Impacts

METHOD

Survey Area Prior to Activity

MITIGATION

- Mitigation of Direct Impacts
- Flagging and Avoidance of Burrows
 - Relocation of the Activity
 - Redesign of the Activity
 - Monitoring Tortoises Near Construction
 - Relocation of Tortoise
- Evaluate Effectiveness after each Activity and during Post-Activity Surveys

IMPACT MITIGATION STUDY

OBJECTIVE

 Obtain information necessary to mitigate impacts on tortoises in areas that will have large, long-term disturbances

METHOD

 Tortoises in proposed high-impact areas are radiomarked and monitored up to one year prior to start of activity

RESULTS

• Three Impact Mitigation Study sites have been established

MITIGATION

Identification of best techniques for protecting specific tortoises



RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT STUDY

OBJECTIVE

 Develop, implement, and test methods for moving tortoises out of areas that will be disturbed

METHOD

 Originally planned on moving tortoises out of the Yucca Mountain area if >25% of home range was to be destroyed

RESULTS

First tortoise that was relocated moved >30 km



RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT STUDY

REVISED METHOD

- Tortoises will be moved within their home range when possible
- If a large portion of their home range will be disturbed, tortoises will be moved to a safe area within Yucca Mountain area
- Tortoises will be removed from Yucca Mountain only if they continue to return to construction sites

RESULTS

- 15 tortoises were moved in 1993
 - 2 tortoises moved outside of home range
 - 13 tortoises moved within home range

MITIGATION

Evaluate success of each relocation and modify mitigation recommendations if necessary

ROAD MONITORING STUDY

OBJECTIVE

• Minimize mortalities of tortoises on roads

METHOD

- Monitor sightings and mortalities of tortoises along roads
- Assess information annually to determine if mitigation is required to reduce mortalities along roads
- If necessary, develop and test mitigation techniques

RESULTS

- 155 sightings of tortoises on roads since 1989
- 4 tortoises killed on roads since 1989 (1 Incidental Take)

MITIGATION

 Warning signs placed in areas of highest tortoise activity, but further mitigation not warranted at present



GROUND MOTION EFFECTS STUDY

OBJECTIVES

• Determine if ground motion caused by site characterization activities collapses tortoise burrows or causes tortoises to alter their behavior

METHODS

- Monitor behavior of tortoises during and after ground motion
- Measure burrows near seismic shot holes before and after ground motion

RESULTS

- One set of seismic studies monitored. No changes were noted one week after the event.
- Burrows and tortoises at North Portal not affected

MITIGATION

• None to date

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

- The combined effects of all types of activities will be evaluated
- Because effects may be subtle, sampling is being done over a long period and on many parameters important to tortoise populations

PARAMETERS BEING MEASURED

- **Reproduction Study**
 - Eggs Produced per Female
- Survival Study •
 - Nest Survival
 - Hatchling Survival
 - Adult Tortoise Survival
- Behavior/Habitat Use Study •
 - Home Range Size
 - Shift in Home Range
 Percent of Time Active

 - Length of Hibernation
 - Number of Burrows/Number of new Burrows
- Health Study •
 - Growth
 - Condition Index
 - *Mycoplasma agassizii* Antibody Test
 Blood Profiles
- Diet Study ٠
 - Species Composition
- **Raven Study** ٠
 - Abundance

DESIGN

- Sampling radiomarked tortoises at three levels of impact
 - 1. High Impact
 - 2. Area Wide
 - 3. No Impact Control
- Number of Radiomarked Tortoises Monitored

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
High Impact	37	41	43
Area Wide	23	26	22
Control	25	23	24



OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate Cumulative Impacts on Tortoises
- Obtain Site-Specific Information on Desert Tortoise Biology to Aid in Conserving Tortoises at Yucca Mountain

REPRODUCTION

PARAMETER MEASURED

• Eggs Produced per Female

METHODS

- 1992 found nests and counted eggs
- 1993 x ray

RESULTS

	$\overline{\varkappa}$ Number of E	ggs/Female	
	1992	1993	
High Impact	13.0 (n=2)	8.2 (n=5)	
Control Area	8.0 (n=1)	8.3 (n=4)	

YMTRTDP21.PM4.125.NWTRB/11-19-93

REPRODUCTION

ADDITIONAL RESULTS

Eggs laid: 16 May - 4 July

Incubation: 79 to 112 days Incubation duration is negatively correlated with nest temperature

Hatching date: 21 August - 11 October



SURVIVAL

PARAMETERS MEASURED

• Survival rates of adults, hatchlings, and nests

METHODS

Monitor radiomarked tortoises and nests

RESULTS

• Monitored 20 adult tortoises in each of the three treatments

	Adult Annual Survival	
	1992	1993
High Impact	100%	100%
Area Wide	95%	95%
Control	100%	00%

SURVIVAL (Continued)

RESULTS (Continued)

- Hatchling data inadequate for treatment comparisons, but native fire ants appear to be a significant predator
- Monitored all nests found; only the high-impact and control levels were represented

	Nest Survival	
	1992	1993
High Impact	100% (n=8)	100% (n=10)
Control	100% (n=3)	89% (n=9)

BEHAVIOR / HABITAT USE

PARAMETERS MEASURED

- Home Range Size
- Shift in Home Range
- Percent of Time Active
- Length of Hibernation

METHODS

- Locate radiomarked tortoises twice per week during activity period
- Record information on location, use of cover sites, behavior

RESULTS

- Assumptions of usual home range calculations violated; other estimators are being evaluated
- Length of hibernation (P=0.60) and percent of time active (P=0.47) did not differ among the 3 treatment levels during 1992-1993

BEHAVIOR / HABITAT USE

ADDITIONAL RESULTS

- 97% of radiomarked tortoises started hibernation before 15 November (n=179)
- 97% of radiomarked tortoises did not exit hibernation until after 1 March (n=184)
- Resurveys and construction monitoring are not needed from 1 December through 1 March

Percent of Tortoises Hibernating, 1989-92



Percent of Tortoises Hibernating, 1990-93



HEALTH

PARAMETERS MEASURED

- Growth
- Condition Index
- Mycoplasma agassizii antibody test
- Blood Profiles

METHODS

- Measure growth and calculate condition index annually in the fall
- Collect blood to measure antibody response and health profiles

RESULTS

- Condition index did not differ among groups over three years (*P*=0.56)
- Growth data has not been analyzed
- Blood profile data was just received from the lab
- Antibody response did not differ among groups (P=0.30)

	<u>Number</u>	Positive in 1993
High Impact	4	(n = 18)
Area Wide	2	(n = 14)
Control	1	(n = 14)

DIET

PARAMETER MEASURED

Species Composition

METHODS

- Count number of bites per forage species during forage observations
- Collect scat from desert tortoises at Yucca Mountain and control area

RESULTS

 Composition analysis of 1992 and 1993 samples has not been completed by lab

DIET

ADDITIONAL RESULTS

10 Most Commonly Eaten Species

Common Name

Red Brome Fluffgrass Desert Globemallow Lupine Foothill Deervetch Cactus Bristly Fiddleneck Storksbill Saltbushes Galleta

Scientific Name

Bromus rubens Erioneuron pulchellum Sphaeralcea ambigua Lupinus spp. Lotus humistratus Cactaceae Amsinkia tessellata Erodium cicutarium Atriplex spp. Hilaria jamesii

Based on 1990-1991 scat samples and 1992-1993 feeding observations

RAVEN MONITORING

OBJECTIVES

- Determine if site characterization activities cause an increase in raven abundance
- Monitor use of site characterization activities facilities by ravens and identify where ravens congregate
- Recommend how to discourage ravens from using site characterization activities facilities

METHODS

- Count ravens along routes at Yucca Mountain and Crater Flat/Bare Mountain (control route) five times every other month
- Record use of facilities by ravens seen during counts

RAVEN MONITORING

RESULTS

- More ravens were observed at Yucca Mountain than on the Control Route (*P*=0.0234)
- More ravens were observed in FY93 than in FY92 (*P*=0.0371), but this trend was not the same for all months (Month × Fiscal Year interaction significant, *P*=0.0186)
- Since treatment by time interaction was not significant (P=0.7306)for FY92 and FY93, conclude that site characterization activities did not cause an increase in raven abundance at Yucca Mountain during FY93

Sum of Raven Sightings by Fiscal Year



IDENTIFICATION AND MITIGATION OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

- Identification of Cumulative Impacts
- Results Reviewed Annually to Identify Mitigation Methods