#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

# NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC HEALTH PANEL MEETING

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM

**UPDATE** 

PRESENTER: WENDY R. DIXON

PRESENTER'S TITLE

AND ORGANIZATION: ASSISTANT MANAGER, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY & HEALTH

YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

PRESENTER'S

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (702) 794-7947

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA MARCH 22, 1994

## Nuclear Waste Policy Act and its Implementing Regulations

- Streamlined the NEPA process
- Required environmental review throughout the life of the repository
- Uses other devices besides NEPA for public involvement and public review
  - Public hearings to recommend the site for development as a repository
  - Licensing hearings before NRC
  - Technical Review Board oversight

# Statutory Environmental Assessment Required for Site Characterization

- Site characterization is not a major federal action
- Does not require an EIS
- Requires monitoring and mitigation of significant adverse affects

Yucca Mountain EA:
Showed no significant adverse effects

# 10 CFR 960 General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories

#### Preclosure guidelines for environmental quality:

- 960.5-2-5
- 960.3-4
- 960.5-1

In summary, the quality of the environment will be adequately protected and significant adverse environmental impacts mitigated to the extent practicable during all stages of the program

# 10 CFR 960 General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories

(Continued)

#### **Disqualifying conditions:**

- Environmental impacts can not be mitigated to an acceptable degree taking into account programmatic, technical, social, economic, and environmental factors
- Irreconcilable conflicts with previously designated resource preservation use

#### Postclosure guidelines and disqualifiers: None

### **Environmental Impact Statement**

#### The Yucca Mountain EIS need not consider:

- The need for the repository
- Alternatives to geologic disposal
- Alternative sites to Yucca Mountain

## NWPA Requires Environmental Review Throughout the Life of the Repository

- Site characterization phase
- DOE application to construct the repository
- DOE application to receive and possess radioactive wastes
- DOE application for closure and decommissioning

# NWPA and its Implementing Regulations Ensure that NEPA Review Applies to Each of these Stages

- By doing so, Congress enabled DOE, through continued environmental review, based on better data through time, to better gauge the needs of public health and safety
- Congress further provided that any final decision on repository soundness would rest on a period of study and observation of a fully-loaded repository.
   The integrity of the observation period was guaranteed by requiring that all wastes placed in the repository be fully retrievable

#### **Current Status**

- 1. Focus on site characterization phase
- 2. Preparation for the site recommendation EIS
- 3. Recognition that the site suitability vehicle for environment is the Environmental Impact Statement
- 4. Analyze availability of site characterization data for the Environmental Impact Statement
- 5. Analyze the precepts of NEPA including the judicial device called the Rule of Reason
- Analyze what data will become available at later project stages, allowing NRC or DOE to modify any decision