

## **Background and Perspective**

.

,

٠

<u>ب</u>

## Background

#### Times have changed

- Long-term effects more important--diminishes ability to rely on delay in transport time
- Evaluation of doses requires information about aquifers
- Change in approach
- DOE direction for the strategy document
  - Describe elements of the strategy and current understanding
  - Define hypotheses to be evaluated

## **Strategy Focuses on Two Objectives**

- Limit annual dose to member of the general public
  - Strategy describes how seepage in emplacement drifts, containment time, waste mobilization rates, effectiveness of engineered barriers, and dilution will be tested
- Containment of waste for thousands of years during high-inventory/high-temperature period
  - Strategy describes how dry conditions in the repository and low container corrosion rates will be tested

## Repository System



## TSPA 95 -- Dose at Accessible Environment



10/17/95 6

## Key Attributes Affecting Performance



Time

## Hypotheses For This Strategy

- 1 Seepage contacting waste will be low
- 2 Dry conditions will lead to containment for thousands of years
- 3 Waste mobilization rates will be low
- 4 Engineered barriers will limit rate of release to a low value
- 5 Concentrations will be strongly diluted during transport in natural barriers

## Strategy Also Addresses Cross-Cutting Issues

- Impacts of climate change on hydrology are covered in hypotheses and associated testing and modeling
- Effects of heat are addressed by thermal testing and modeling
- Potential effects of disruptive processes and events are also addressed
  - Tectonics and seismicity
  - Volcanism
  - Human interference

## Format for Reviewing Hypotheses

- Basis for hypotheses
- Observations/analyses needed to resolve remaining questions

١.

## **Seepage Into Drifts**



# $\sigma = 1$

 $\sigma$ : standard deviation of the logarithm of permeability

#### Large-Scale Conceptual Model

#### **Small-Scale Conceptual Model**

## Hypothesis 1--Seepage Into Drifts What Current Information Tells Us

- Seepage rate affects containment, mobilization, transport in engineered barriers, degree of dilution
- Average flux at repository horizon likely to be low (< 1 mm/yr)</li>
- Localization may occur but may not be disadvantageous (WEEPS model analysis)
- No dripping observed in ramp so far

## Hypothesis 1--Seepage Into Drifts Work Needed To Test Hypothesis

- Synthesis of existing borehole data
- Observations in ESF
  - Inflow rates
  - Moisture content of near-field rock
  - Humidity in drift and host rock
- Large-scale and small-scale flow modeling (e.g., effects of heterogeneity, climate, thermal effects)
- Modeling to determine conditions under which seepage would be too high

## Containment



Salt Used	RH <sub>crit</sub>	Relative Humidity, %					
		100	90	80	70	60	50
$Na_2SO_4 \cdot 10H_2O$	93	*	0	0	0	0	0
KCI	86	*	¥	×	۰	0	۰
NaCl	78	. *	*	*	×	•	۰
NaNO3	77	*	*	*	Q	٥	•
NaNO <sub>2</sub>	66	+	+	+	+	0	٥
$NaBr \cdot 2H_2O$	59	· *	×	*	*	*	0
NaI · 2H <sub>2</sub> O	43	*	×	*	*	*	· *
$LiCl \cdot H_2O$	15	*	<b>.</b>	¥	*	*	*

Salt coating is moist; underlying rust and attack of the steel. \*

Salt coating colored brown at edge; underlying attack of the steel. ×

Salt coating converted to colorless solution; no corrosion. +

Salt coating is dry; no corrosion.

**Measured Corrosion Rates (from** Brown and Masters, 1982)

#### **Critical Relative Humidities For Salt** Contaminants (from Kaesche, 1985)

## Waste Package Environments



Calculated Container Temperatures (from Buscheck et al., 1995)

Calculated Relative Humidities (from Buscheck et al., 1995)

## **Hypothesis 2--Containment** What Current Information Tells Us

- Limited corrosion at low humidity
- Modeling indicates humidity may be low for thousands of years
- Low humidity conditions may be enhanced by backfill
- Cathodic protection likely

## **Hypothesis 2--Containment** Work Needed To Test Hypothesis

- Represent environments
  - Observe amount and chemistry of water in ESF
  - Measure possible effect of backfills on humidity
  - Thermohydrologic testing and modeling
- Determine corrosion mechanisms/rates at low humidity
- Establish role of cathodic protection

## **Waste Mobilization**



Scanning Electron Photograph of Bare, Oxidized Spent Fuel (from Gray and Thomas, 1992)



Measured Dissolution Rates For Bare Spent Fuel (from Gray and Thomas, 1992)

## Hypothesis 3--Waste Mobilization What Current Information Tells Us

- Waste form dissolution rates
  - About 10<sup>-4</sup>/year for saturated conditions
  - About 10<sup>-6</sup>/year for unsaturated conditions
- Elemental solubilities give even lower
  mobilization rates for most radionuclides
- Issues with neptunium solubility, waste form alteration, colloid formation

## Hypothesis 3--Waste Mobilization Work Needed To Test Hypothesis

- Refine neptunium solubility data
- Determine effect of radiation and chemistry on waste form dissolution
- Assess effect of containment on waste form alteration (e.g., oxidation of UO<sub>2</sub>)
- Determine stability of colloids

## **Engineered Barriers**



Conceptual Model For Unsaturated Backfill (from Conca, 1990) Measured Diffusion Coefficients For Backfill (from Conca, 1990)

## Hypothesis 4--EBS Transport What Current Information Tells Us

- Very slow transport through waste package
  - Low water content
  - Discontinuous films on waste package components
- Backfill may further limit transport
  - Evaporation effect may limit amount of water contacting waste
  - Thin film effect
  - Films may not exist under repository conditions
  - Transport may result in trapping of radionuclides in pores of backfill

## Hypothesis 4--EBS Transport Work Needed To Test Hypothesis

- Assess transport characteristics of the waste package
- Determine flow and evaporation characteristics of backfill
- Evaluate transport properties of backfill

## Dilution During Transport in Natural Barriers



Attenuation of Radium-226 Concentration in Heterogeneous Media at a Uranium Mill Site in South Central Wyoming (Haji-Djafari et al., 1981)

## Hypothesis 5--Dilution During Transport in Natural Barriers What Current Information Tells Us

- Expect dispersion of concentrations in heterogeneous systems
- Textbook solutions indicate large dilution factors
- Mixing during withdrawal
- Uncertainties in transport model at site and in scaling of test results

## Hypothesis 5--Dilution During Transport in Natural Barriers Work Needed To Test Hypothesis

- Determine dispersiveness of local flow system
- Model saturated zone flow system
- Estimate range of scaling effects by analyses using different transport models

## **Testing the Five Hypotheses Will:**

- Provide bounds to seepage into the emplacement drifts
- Estimate bounds to processes that produce low humidity at the waste package
- Determine the upper bounds to waste package breach rates
- Estimate the upper bounds on waste mobilization rates
- Determine the bounds to the flow and transport properties of the EBS
- Estimate lower bounds to dilution factors

## Summary

- Strategy is based on the work conducted to date
- We have identified the critical issues and defined how to resolve them
- Strategy calls for significant change in emphasis and provides a basis for estimating the needed work
- Focused efforts could resolve the key issues at a reasonable cost to support near-term milestones