Consideration of Uncertainty in the Near-Field Environment and Coupled Process Effects

LA Design Selection Process

Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Ernest L. Hardin M&O/LLNL

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999



U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Yucca Mountain Project

Outline

- Selection and development process
- Review of uncertainties
- How uncertainties were addressed in EDA development

Discuss the near-field and engineered barriers, except the waste package and its contents, and drip shield corrosion.

Development and Selection Process

Postclosure Performance Uncertainty was Considered:

- For EDA Development
 Multi-pass Conceptual Process
- For EDA Evaluation:

Licensing Probability/Safety Criterion

(includes evaluation of differences in scientific approach to uncertainty)

- Thermal-Hydrology
 - Fracture capillarity
 - Fracture-matrix interaction
 - Hydro-property sets
 - Spatial heterogeneity of fracturing
- Thermal-Mechanical
 - Constitutive relationships
 - Boundary conditions
 - Ground support longevity

• Thermochemistry

- -Chemical heterogeneity
- -Intrinsic rates and reactive surface areas
- Thermal-Hydrologic-Chemical (THC)
 - Potential effects on fracture hydrologic properties, fracture-matrix interaction, and chemical fractionation
 - -Effects on radionuclide transport in the UZ

- Thermal-Hydrologic-Mechanical (THM)

 Unloading during cooldown
 Relative magnitude of effects
- Thermal-Hydrologic-Chemical-Mechanical (THCM)
 - -Sensitivity of fractures to processes, e.g. pressure solution, cementation
 - -Relative magnitude of effects

- Environmental Factors Uncertainty of CRM Performance
 - Physical environment (T, RH, liquid water, mechanical loading)
 - -Bulk chemical environment (pH, availability of anions and buffer species)
 - -Materials contacting CRM barriers

- Stability and Predictability of In-Drift Physical and Chemical Conditions
 - -Effects of rockfall on the DS & WP
 - -Properties of debris-backfill
 - Performance characteristics for water diversion barriers
 - -Chemical evolution of introduced materials

- Uncertainty of TH Conditions/Coupled Processes
 - Design for lower temperature and faster cooldown
 - EDA I: Drift wall T < boiling
 - EDA II: Pillars mostly below boiling Drift wall T < boiling after 500 to 1,000 yr

EDA I - WP Temperature/Relative Humidity



EDA II - WP Temperature/Relative Humidity



- Uncertainty of TH Conditions/Coupled Processes (cont.)
 - Design for higher temperature to prolong the time until return of moisture
 - EDAs III, IV & V:
 - EDA IV: EDA V:

Delay moisture return by drying host rock Also uses backfill Uses high thermal loading

- Uncertainty of TH Conditions/Coupled Processes (cont.)
 - Limit reliance on prolonged low-humidity conditions in backfill
 - EDA I: Low T rather than low RH
 - EDA II: Limits period to ~1,000 yr
 - EDA V: Uses high thermal loading without backfill

- Uncertainty of TH Conditions/Coupled Processes (cont.)
 - Design to increase reliance on local heat and mass transfer processes
 - EDA's I & II: Limit multi-drift effects (can be evaluated with simplified models)
 - EDAs III to V: Multi-drift TH effects

- Uncertainty of TH Conditions/Coupled Processes (cont.)
 - Line Loading to Limit TH Dimensionality
 - EDAs II to V: Line loading
 - EDA I: Point loading to limit T
 - **Blending for More Uniform Thermal Load**
 - EDAs I, II & V: Blending to level output
 - EDAs III & IV: Limited blending

Uncertain effects from warm, moist conditions
 Use CRM outer WP barrier

e.g. EDA I includes CRM WP outer barrier

- Limit the effects of WP energy density on duration of TH conditions
 - EDA I: 12-PWR WPs
 - EDAs II to V: 21-PWR WPs

Postclosure passive ventilation not used

- Uncertainty of coupled process chemical effects
 - Thermal management to limit cumulative effects
 - EDA I: Eliminate boiling in host rock EDA II: Limited extent and/or duration
 - Drip shield to protect WP from effects of thermally driven coupled processes
 - All EDAs: CRM drip shield

- Uncertainty of coupled process chemical effects (cont.)
 - Design to delay onset of thermally driven coupled processes
 - All EDAs: Use preclosure ventilation
 - EDAs III to V: Delay effects from aqueous coupled processes on the in-drift environment

• In-drift physical environment

Use engineered backfill to control physical properties & effects of rockfall

EDA's II & IV include backfill which will:

Mitigate rockfall mechanical effects Stabilize EBS geometry

Control heat and mass transport properties Simplify hydrologic responses (e.g. reflux)

• In-drift physical environment (cont.)

Use water diversion barrier to decrease potential for advective releases

All EDAs: Include a drip shield which will strongly decrease advective releases while intact

In-drift chemical environment

Limit cementitious materials, and use steel for ground support

All EDAs: Use steel in lieu of concrete

Use backfill to chemically isolate ground support from the WP

EDAs II & IV: Isolate ground support materials (except mobile corrosion products)

• In-drift chemical environment (cont.)

Use buffer materials to control conditions at CRM contact

Buffer materials (e.g. marble) were considered but not used in the EDAs

Summary

- Uncertainty of postclosure performance was emphasized in EDA development & selection
- Review of uncertainties
 - -Coupled processes (THCM)
 - Environmental factors affecting CRM performance
 - Stability and predictability of in-drift physical and chemical environment



 Specific Design Features Were Used to Address Important Uncertainties

-All EDAs:

CRM Drip Shield for Water Diversion and to Control WP Environment CRM Outer Waste Package Barrier Limit Cementitious Materials



• Specific Design Features Were Used to Address Important Uncertainties (cont.)

-Certain EDAs:

Low/High Thermal Loading

- Line Loading/Blending
- Reliance on Backfill for Low Humidity
- WP Energy Density
- Backfill