Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- **Key Points** (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward



Presentation to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

Presentation by: Richard D. Snell M&O/Fluor Daniel

Beatty, Nevada June 29-30, 1999





- Recommendation to DOE; DOE has not yet made its selection
- Conceptual Design Development Process/Not optimization process
 - » Example: Drip Shield Design
- The set of five (5) enhanced design alternatives (EDAs) allowed focused review/evaluations
- Operational concepts are flexible and subject to further development (e.g. period of operation, ventilation rates and duration)

- Key Points (continued)
 - The following presentations briefly address:
 - » EDA Descriptions
 - » Evaluation Process Phase II
 - » Uncertainties
 - » Path Forward

