

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

#### Assumptions and Results from Components of the Waste Form Degradation Model

Presented to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

Presented by: Christine Stockman Waste Form Degradation PMR Lead Sandia National Laboratory Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating Contractor

YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT

August 2, 2000

#### Waste Form Degradation Model\* has Eight Components



#### Process Model Factors Affecting Radionuclide Release from Waste Form

Key Attributes	Process Model Factor	TSPA-SR Input Parameters
Radionuclide Mobilization and Release from the Engineered Barrier System	In Package Environments	<ul> <li>pH - f (region, time)</li> <li>Total dissolved carbonate (CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>) - f (region, time)</li> <li>Oxygen fugacity - f (region, time)</li> <li>lonic strength - f (region, time)</li> <li>Fluoride - f(region, time)</li> <li>CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity</li> <li>Volume of water in the waste package/waste form cell</li> </ul>
	Cladding Degradation and Performance	Fraction of surface area of Zircaloy-clad CSNF exposed as a function of time
	CSNF Degradation and Performance	CSNF intrinsic dissolution rate
	DSNF Degradation and Performance	DSNF intrinsic dissolution rate
	HLW Degradation and Performance	<ul> <li>HLW intrinsic dissolution rate</li> <li>Specific surface area</li> </ul>
	Dissolved Radionuclide Concentration	Concentration limits (solubilities) for all isotopes
	Colloid-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations	<ul> <li>Types of waste form colloids</li> <li>Concentration of colloids</li> <li>K<sub>d</sub> and/or K<sub>c</sub> for various colloid types</li> <li>Fraction of inventory that travels as irreversibly attached onto colloids</li> </ul>
	In-Package Radionuclide Transport	<ul> <li>Porosity of corrosion products – f (time)</li> <li>Saturation of corrosion products – f (time)</li> <li>Evaporation – f (temperature, relative humidity, composition)</li> </ul>
	EBS (Invert) Degradation and Performance	<ul> <li>Thermally perturbed saturation in the invert – f (waste type, region, time, climate)</li> <li>Porosity of the invert</li> <li>Diffusion coefficient</li> <li>Volumetric flux through the invert – f (climate, time)</li> <li>Saturation in the invert after thermal pulse – f (time)</li> </ul>

## Assumptions of In-Package Chemistry Component\*

- Cladding, HLW, and steel degradation rates, and fixed gas pressures (CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>) control bulk chemistry (pH, [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sub>T</sub>, [i], and [F])
- Bulk chemistry, in turn, influences five other components: CSNF degradation, HLW degradation, DSNF degradation, radionuclide solubility, and colloid stability
- Bulk chemistry approximated by well mixed, always oxidizing, full bathtub scenario
- Chemical condition in WP dominates; thus, J-13 well water can be used (e.g. no influence of evaporation assumed)
- \* Discussed in In-Package Chemistry Abstraction AMR



### Uncertainty of pH Greater in CSNF Waste Packages

#### CSNF

#### Co - Disposal





#### Conservative Waste Form Degradation Rates Assumed for CSNF\*, HLW<sup>t</sup>, and DSNF®



\*Discussed in *CSNF Waste Form Degradation: Summary and Abstraction* AMR <sup>t</sup>Discussed in *Defense High-Level Waste Glass Degradation* AMR <sup>\*</sup>Discussed in *DSNF and Other Waste Form Degradation Abstraction* AMR

#### Glass Degradation Rate Has Very Large Uncertainty



TSPA-VA:  $1x10^{-2}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> < 1000 yr after exposure;  $1x10^{-6}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> at 10,000 yr

# **Assumptions in Cladding Component\***

- Two steps: perforation, unzipping
- Four perforation mechanisms included
  - initial
  - creep and SCC (average of 8%)
  - localized corrosion (after water in WP ~40,000yr)
  - seismic: (rare frequency of 10<sup>-6</sup>/yr)
  - Fast release = gap fraction + fraction of rod dissolved before unzipping starts
- Unzipping assumed to occur between 1 and 240 times faster than CSNF degradation rate
  - Inventory releases as cladding unzips (except fast release)

\*Discussed in Clad Degradation- Summery and Abstraction AMR



#### Prior to 50,000 yr Cladding Perforation Caused by creep rupture





# **Unzipping Rate has Large Uncertainty**



TSPA-VA: CSNF degradation 2x10<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> to 4x10<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> over 10.000 yr



## **Assumptions in Solubility Component\***

- Conservatively selected pure phases to control solubility
- Conservatively fixed gas pressures CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> at atmospheric conditions
- Conservatively neglect sorption or coprecipitation of radionuclides

\*Discussed in Summary of Dissolved Concentration Limits AMR



### Solubility of Important Radioisotopes updated for TSPA-SR



- Thermodynamic data used recent NEA and literature

# **Np Solubility Varies with pH**



## Uncertainty in Solubility of Np Determined by Uncertainty in pH

#### **CSNF**

#### Co - Disposal



YMP Yucca Mountain Project/Preliminary Predecisional Draft Materials

### **Assumptions in Colloid Component**

- Calculated ionic strength and pH determines concentration
- *Irreversible* waste colloids from HLW
- Pu and Am transported as *irreversible* colloids
- *Reversible* colloids from groundwater, rust, and waste
- Pu, Am, Th (Ra, Pb), Pa (Ac), Sr, Cs transported as reversible colloids
- No filtration or sorption within package
- Diffusion coefficient for colloids very conservative (only 100 times less than aqueous diffusion)



#### Releases from CSNF Contribute Most to Dose



#### Degraded Cladding Condition Increases Dose by Factor of 4



#### Because pH Range Narrow in EBS, Np Release Only Changes Slightly



#### Colloids Only Contribute a Small Fraction to Release of <sup>239</sup>Pu

#### Source of Reversible Colloids

#### Total <sup>239</sup>Pu Release



#### **Backup Slides**



#### In-Package Chemistry Component Estimates pH, Calculates [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sub>T</sub>, and Samples [i] and [F]



#### In-Package Chemistry Component Developed from Regression Analysis on FQ3/6 Runs



\* Corrosion of steel releases sulfur which can lower pH.

#### CSNF Degradation Rates in SR Similar to Rates in VA





#### Constant Degradation Rate Used for DSNF Category Bounds all Measured Degradation Rates



### **HLW Reaction Rates in SR similar to VA**

- HLW rate less than CSNF degradation except at high pH and high temperature
  - HLW rate bounds stage I, II, III degradation rates



## Cladding Degradation\* Consists of Two Steps: Perforation and Unzipping





## Cladding Perforations\* before Receipt based on NRC Contractor Report (1969-1985) and Literature from 1985-1995



### In TSPA-SR, Perforation from Cladding Creep Sampled Between Analytical Estimates





## Perforation of Cladding\* by Localized Corrosion

- To account for microvariation in chemistry, pitting is included since it is thought to be more likely to occur relative to other localized corrosion mechanisms
- Fraction of perforated rods conservatively assumed to be proportional to seepage of water into WP

$$f_{clad} = \frac{1}{2424m^3} \bullet q_{seep} \Delta t_i$$

\* Fluoride pitting discussed in Clad Degradation - Summary and Abstraction AMR

#### Colloidal Component\* Evaluates the Colloid Concentration on Three Types of Colloids: Waste, Rust and Natural





#### Highest Percentage of Radioisotopes Reside in CSNF Packages (TSPA-SR)



#### Percentage of Radioisotopes in Packages Similar in TSPA-VA



## <sup>99</sup>Tc and <sup>237</sup>Np Contribute Most to the Dose



### Rates of Release from CSNF Slightly Larger than from Co-Disposal Packages

**CSNF** 

**Co** - Disposal



