

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

#### **Unsaturated Zone Transport**

Presented to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

Presented by: Jim Houseworth Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC

With Contributions from: Hui Hai Liu, Lehua Pan, Yu Shu Wu, Bo Bodvarsson, Hari Viswanathan, Wendy Soll, Ardyth Simmons

June 20-21, 2001 Las Vegas, NV



#### Introduction

- Conservatism in Radionuclide Transport
  - Drift Shadow
  - Radionuclide Transport Calculation Methods
- Effects of Thermal Operating Modes
  - Expansion of Repository Footprint
  - Thermally-Driven Coupled Processes
- Multiple Lines of Evidence



#### Conservatism in Radionuclide Transport: Drift Shadow

#### **Drift shadow concept**



Subsystem model for drift shadow: transport in the tsw35



#### Conservatism in Radionuclide Transport: Drift Shadow

(Continued)

## Transport in the drift shadow results in much longer radionuclide transport times than in the baseline transport models



Breakthrough curves at 45 m below potential waste emplacement drift

TSPA: partial implementation through advective-diffusive flux splitting in drift

#### **Conservatism in Radionuclide Transport: Radionuclide Transport Calculation Methods**

Schematic Diagram of a One-Dimensional Column of Gridblocks and Two Models of Flow



Vertical Fractures Matrix Continue

Matrix Discretization for the Multiple Interacting Continua Model Scheme Baseline DKM process models for UZ transport predict earlier breakthrough compared to MINC approach



Normalized Tracer Cumulative Flux at Water Table as a Function of Time



### Conservatism in Radionuclide Transport: PA Model for UZ Transport

# Performance Assessment model is found to give earlier breakthrough than process models

Comparison of cumulative normalized breakthrough curves at the water table using FEHM V2.1 and DCPT V1.0 for the mean infiltration, glacial transition climate



TSPA: more realistic transport method not yet implemented

#### Thermal Operating Modes: Fundation of Repository Footprint

239,000 238,000 (cooler) spositor 237,000 orevious warmer design 236,000 235,000 234,000 Northing (m) 233,000 232.000 231,000 Flow Mod 230.000 Domain 229,000 (cooler) positor 228,000 227,000 Model Domain 168.000 169.000 170.000 171.000 172.000 173.000 174.000 Easting (m) 154 009Bp ai

Plan View of Unsaturated Zone Model Domains and Potential Repository Layouts Breakthrough curves for the southern extension show longer transport times to the water table than for the baseline potential repository footprint, but overall effect is small. TSPA: expanded footprint not included.



Simulated Breakthrough Curves of Cumulative Tracer and Radionuclide Mass Arriving at the Water Table

#### **Thermal Operating Modes: Thermal-Hydrologic Effects**

- Thermal-Hydrologic (TH) Effects Mountain Scale
  - Mountain-scale flow beneath potential repository dominated by climate change after 600 years for high and low temperature operating modes. TH processes expected to have limited influence on mountain-scale radionuclide transport
  - Expected effects are negligible, not included in TSPA
- TH Effects Drift Scale
  - High-temperature operating mode: Local dryout of fractures and matrix (2500 to 3000 years) will prevent transport until rock re-wetting occurs
  - Low-temperature operating mode: Local dryout of fractures will prevent releases to the fractures for approximately 2000 years. Matrix water is retained
  - Not included in TSPA but may result in improved performance



#### Thermal Operating Modes: THC and THM Effects

- Thermal-Hydrologic-Chemical (THC) Effects
  - Estimated changes in fracture permeability due to THC processes are less than one order of magnitude. This is much smaller than natural variability of fracture permeability. Therefore, THC processes are expected to have limited influence on transport
  - Expected effects are negligible, not included in TSPA
- Thermal-Hydrologic-Mechanical (THM) Effects
  - Estimate changes in fracture permeability about 10 to 40%. This is much smaller than natural variability of fracture permeability. Therefore, THM processes are expected to have limited influence on transport
  - Expected effects are negligible, not included in TSPA



#### **Multiple Lines of Evidence**

- Fracture-matrix interaction
  - Hydrologic observations that matrix remains unsaturated despite large percolation flux
  - Observations of geochemical disequilibrium between perched water and matrix pore water
  - Steep gradients for uranium between fractures and matrix at Nopal I unsaturated zone site, Pena Blanca
- Long transport times
  - Low mobility of uranium in the unsaturated zone at Pena Blanca over 100,000 year time frame
  - Limited migration (45 cm) of copper and lead in the unsaturated zone at Akrotiri, Santorini over 3600 years



#### **Summary**

- Transport times in the drift shadow are orders of magnitude longer than predicted by the existing PA model. The transport times in the drift shadow model are significant relative to the 10,000 year regulatory time frame
- Process model representation of matrix diffusion is conservative due to matrix discretization effects, resulting in shorter predicted breakthrough times. The PA model is conservative relative to the process models over most of the breakthrough curve
- Including the southern extension to the potential repository block results in slightly longer transport times to the water table
- Local dryout from TH processes will delay or reduce radionuclide transport immediately beneath potential waste emplacement drifts for 2000 to 3000 years. Other thermally-driven coupled process effects are expected to have minimal effects on transport



#### **Backup**



#### **Drift Shadow: Unsaturated Flow**





#### **Drift Shadow: Unsaturated Flow**





#### **Drift Shadow: Technetium Transport**





#### **Drift Shadow: Neptunium Transport**





#### **Matrix Block Discretization**





#### **Matrix Block Discretization: Flow**



#### Radionuclide Transport Calculation Methods: Results



TSPA99 (present day mean infiltration)



NOTE: The current model is DCPT v1.0 (LBNL (1999 [132448]); DCPT v2.0 is a more-refined version. C is the concentration of tracer, and CO is the concentration at inlet.

#### Thermal Operating Modes: TH Coupled Processes – Mountain Scale





#### Thermal Operating Modes: TH Coupled Processes – Drift Scale





154 0258 ai

