

## Yucca Mountain Project Status Summary

- The President signed the Congressionally approved resolution of repository siting approval on July 23, 2002, allowing the Department of Energy (DOE) to move forward to develop a license application (LA) for submittal to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
- We have embarked on the next phase of the Program
  - Budget and resources need to be enhanced to meet 2004 License Application and 2010 Waste Acceptance milestones
  - Ramp-up transportation planning activities
  - Establish a continuing science and technology program
- We are implementing management improvements consistent with the work to be done in this next phase
- Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB) input continues to be important to the Program

## Critical Elements for December 2004 License Submittal

- Complete high-quality sustainable LA
  - Design
  - Post-closure Total System Performance Assessment
  - Pre-closure Safety Analysis
  - Testing and Evaluation including Performance Confirmation
- Licensing Support Network (LSN) certified no later than June 2004
- Ensure an effective Quality Assurance (QA) program

# Challenges for the December 2004 License Submittal

- First of its kind
- Cultural sea-change from scientific investigations to a NRCregulated (licensing culture) QA environment
- Timely resolution of NRC's Key Technical Issues and other open items
- Need to look ahead to critical activities after submittal: NRC review of LA

## Strategy for December 2004 License Submittal

- Acquire adequate resources for LA development
- Build schedule contingency with Management Improvement Initiatives
- Clarify NRC expectations through interactions early and often

## Critical Elements for 2010 Waste Acceptance

- Receive Construction Authorization in 2007
- Construct the repository and surface facilities by 2010
- Develop transportation capability to support planned 2010 waste acceptance (transportation plan to be completed in 2003)
  - Cask system acquisition
  - Operational readiness
  - Institutional interactions
  - Emergency preparedness

## Challenges for 2010 Waste Acceptance

- Timely and effective response to highly rigorous license review
- Since transportation activities have been deferred for years, DOE needs to develop and implement a national transportation program
- Large increase in construction costs very difficult under current budget caps and system
- Ongoing litigation

#### Strategy for 2010 Waste Acceptance

- Acquire resources and systems to support LA and obtain construction authorization in 2007
- Consider phased approach for repository design and construction
- Implement long-term science and technology program
- Jump-start transportation planning
  - Initiate acquisition of long-lead specialty casks
  - Begin Section 180(c) process to ensure emergency preparedness is in place
  - Define fleet requirements
  - Reactivate institutional activities to address State and Tribal concerns

#### Across-the-Board Challenges

#### **Funding**

- Need adequate funding for near-term milestones and ability to manage long-lead-time requirements
  - Request level \$527 million
    - Senate mark \$336 million
    - House Committee mark \$525 million
  - Timetable for House-Senate conference is unclear
- Some additional funding possible under FY 2002 amendment
- Looking at life-cycle cost reduction and near-term reprioritization

#### Management Improvement Initiatives

- Committed to NRC to improve 5 areas:
  - Roles, responsibilities, authority, and accountability
  - QA Program
  - Project procedures
  - Corrective Action Program
  - Safety-conscious work environment
- Began implementing improvement initiatives in August 2002

#### Science and Technology Program

- Committed to developing a sustained program that:
  - Increases confidence in repository performance by reducing uncertainty
  - Enhances efficiencies and reduces life-cycle costs
  - Improves existing technologies and develops new ones
  - Maintains U.S. leadership in nuclear waste management
- Relationship to licensing:
  - May enhance confidence during LA review
  - Relevant information will be integrated into licensing-related activities as appropriate
  - Some activities may be implemented through the Performance Confirmation program
- A DOE task force was created in April to start the planning
- Initial funding in FY 2003 has not been determined
- NWTRB review and input will be a valuable component

# Summary

- With the formal site designation of Yucca Mountain, a
  historical milestone was achieved -- and one which could
  not have been achieved without the hard work and
  dedication of many people
- As we move forward, we look forward to the comments of the NWTRB to help DOE improve its technical bases
- Science and technology program will be an important area for NWTRB review and comment