

Alice C. Williams

DOE-Environmental Management Logistics and Waste Disposition Enhancements January 21, 2004

INMM Spent Fuel Management Seminar XXI



Background

- The West Valley Demonstration Project is an environmental cleanup project being conducted at a New York State-owned site
- In 1985,DOE took ownership of 125 spent commercial assemblies at the site to allow waste management activities to move forward



The WVDP is being conducted at a former commercial nuclear fuel reprocessing facility.

 Shipping/storage casks were designed and procured to move the fuel to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL)





Preparations for shipment included preparing personnel for cask handling and loading operations.

Background (continued)

- A 1995 legal agreement between DOE and the State of Idaho allowed shipment of the spent fuel from the WVDP after 2000
- Preparations for a 2001 shipment began in 1999
- Initial briefings for potential corridor states and tribes occurred in 1999 through regional state organizations and existing DOE-Tribal interfaces



1999 - 2001 Preparations

- Potential corridor states and tribes, railroads, and the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) reviewed and provided input on:
 - Rail route evaluation studies
 - Shipment plans: transportation, emergency preparedness, communications, and security
- Contracts were negotiated with the involved railroads
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission cask licenses for shipping were renewed



Work on the 1.8 mile rail spur at the WVDP was one of many preparations at both the WVDP and INEEL.



1999 - 2001 Preparations (cont.)

- Shipment information, except specific schedule, was shared with all interested parties
- The 11 corridor states and two tribes, four railroads, FRA, the WVDP, and the INEEL were ready by late summer 2001
- Shipment was postponed in late October 2001 due to other waste management commitments at the INEEL



Casks loaded and awaiting shipment at the WVDP.



2002 – 2003 Preparations

- In December 2002:
 - DOE Headquarters directed the WVDP and INEEL to plan for the shipment in 2003
 - Approach
 - Proceed with plan from 2001 and make only essential changes
 - Due to heightened national security, provide shipment-specific information on a need-to-know basis only



2003 Shipment

- Preparations were completed by all parties by the end of June 2003
- Shipment
 - Departed WVDP July 13 at 12:01 .m.
 - Arrived at INEEL July 17 at 2:38 a.m. (17 hours ahead of schedule)
- Shipment went smoothly from all aspects
 - ▹ Security
 - Transportation (equipment)
 - Inspections





Lessons Learned

- Input from organizations involved in the shipment indicated a number of recommendations for future shipments. These include:
 - Railroad Operations
 - Communications
 - Shipment Schedule
 - Emergency Preparedness Training
 - Inspections



Lessons Learned: Railroad Operations

- Contract vs. Tender
 - Railroad willingness to carry
 - Price Anderson Act
 - Availability of tender rates
 - Planning meetings
 - Add-ons"

Recommendation: Develop an accepted contracting approach with rail carriers for multiple shipments.



Lessons Learned: Railroad Operations (cont.)

- Route / Alternate Route
 - Clearly-defined route
 - selection criteria (i.e., INTRALINE)
 - Selected route changes made only based on strong safety and technical justification
- Route changes required
 during transit determined by rail carrier with input from DOE
- Track inspections for all possible routes not realistic

Recommendation: Use clearly-defined route selection criteria in conjunction with a computer model, such as INTRALINE or STRACKNET, to determine route. Not to Scale



Lessons Learned: Communications

- Regional Coordination Meetings
 - Very helpful and successful
- Sensitive Information
 - "Need-to-Know"
 - Issues related to communicating sensitive information

TRANSCOM

Generally worked well; specific issues identified

Recommendations: Clarify guidelines for distributing and controlling sensitive information and enhance TRANSCOM communications.



Lessons Learned: Shipment Schedule

- Actual ship date fluctuated
- Coordination of en route radiological inspections challenging due to the shifting timeline while shipment was in transit

Recommendation: To the extent possible, adhere to established schedules both for departure and projected arrival times at inspection points. Recommendation: When possible, provide 24-month notification to allow states to use their existing planning process to complete training.

Lessons Learned:

Preparedness

Differences in levels of

expectations for DOE

preparedness and

Emergency

Training

funding



Lessons Learned: Inspections

- Pre-Shipment Inspections
 - Railroad tracks
 - Mechanical
 - Radiological
 - Performed in advance by WVDP and OH
 - Results shared with corridor states
- En Route Inspections
 - Radiological inspections by non-FRA-certified personnel

Recommendation: Develop a protocol to reduce or eliminate en route radiological inspections.





Recommendations

- Phased Approach
- Accepted contracting system with railroads
- Clarify guidelines for distribution of sensitive information / TRANSCOM enhancements
- Process for establishing and maintaining schedule



- National protocol for en route inspections
- Radiological training for first responders integrated into routine hazardous material training