

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

Conceptual Model of Saturated Zone Flow and Transport and Independent Lines of Evidence for Evaluating the Saturated Zone Model Calculations

crwm.doe.gov

Presented to: U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board Panel On the Natural System

Presented by: Kenneth Rehfeldt Technical Staff Member Los Alamos National Laboratory

March 9-10, 2004 Las Vegas, Nevada

Project Contributors

- Bill Arnold, Scott James, Stephanie Kuzio (Sandia National Laboratories)
- Mei Ding, Al Eddebbarh, Sharad Kelkar, Ed Kwicklis, Arend Meijer, Terry Miller, Paul Reimus, Hari Viswanathan, George Zyvoloski (Los Alamos National Laboratory)





Outline

- Conceptual models of groundwater flow and radionuclide transport
- Site scale flow and transport model calculations
- Independent lines of evidence to support the model predictions





Conceptual Model of Saturated Zone Flow



- **Key Controls on Flow**
 - Geologic formations
 - Spatial location
 - Properties
 - Major faults
 - Death Valley Regional Groundwater Flow System
 - Recharge and discharge that define the large scale flow system
 - Local recharge, Fortymile Wash, pumpage





Conceptual Model of Radionuclide Transport Along the Groundwater Flow Path



۲

Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management YMRehfeldt_NWTRB_03/9-10/04

Flow Model Calculations Water Level and Pathline Calculations





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management YMRehfeldt_NWTRB_03/9-10/04

Transport Model Results

Breakthrough curves of radionuclides at the accessible environment after release at the water table beneath the proposed repository



The data shown in this figure are based on a model that is appropriately conservative for TSPA analyses and not intended to represent expected breakthrough of radionuclides or groundwater travel time for saturated zone portion of the Yucca Mountain flow system.







Independent Lines of Evidence to Support the Model Calculations

- Correspondence to measured data (calibration/validation)
 - Calibration data
 - Potentiometric levels/hydraulic conductivity
 - Validation data
 - Water levels in Nye County wells/Alluvial Testing Complex crosshole pumping test
 - Hydraulic parameters
 - Calibrated K values/validation to new Alluvial Testing Complex cross-hole pumping test data
- Correspondence to regional scale observations
 - Flow directions and boundary fluxes from the 1997 regional model





Independent Lines of Evidence to Support the Model Calculations

- Corroboration with hydrochemistry
 - Chemical evolution (or lack thereof) as an indicator of flow paths
 - Mixing of different water types
 - Groundwater age (or relative age)
- Groundwater temperature
 - Validation simulations





New Water Levels Comparison to Nye County Data





Validation of Alluvium Hydraulic Conductivity and Specific Discharge with New Data from the Alluvial Testing Complex

- Data from cross-hole testing at the Alluvial Testing Complex provided an intrinsic permeability value of 2.7x10⁻¹² m². The calibrated value of 3.2x10⁻¹² m² is 19 percent larger than the measured value
- Using new water levels at the Alluvial Testing Complex to calculate the hydraulic gradient and the new aquifer test results, the modeled specific discharge is 27 percent larger than obtained from measured data and Darcy's Law





Corraboration of Flow Paths with Hydrochemical and Isotopic Data

Flow path based on hydrochemical data interpretation

Flow path based on flow model





Magnitude of Recharge

- Using a chloride mass balance approach, the recharge rate is estimated to be between 7 and 14 mm/yr
- From the unsaturated zone studies, the average present-day net infiltration ranges from approximately 1 to 11 mm/yr., with an expected value of 4 mm/yr
- Note that recharge in the entire site-scale model is less than 5 percent of the total flow through the system based on flow out the southern boundary versus the total inflows from the other 3 boundaries





Validation - Thermal Modeling

- Modeling the distribution of temperature in the saturated zone assuming both conduction via the natural geothermal gradient and convection caused by groundwater movement
 - 94 observations of temperature in 35 wells that span a range of values from 21.7 to 62.1 degrees celsius
 - 80 percent of variability in temperature is accounted for by conduction alone



Histogram of temperature residuals for the thermal conduction model





Validation - Thermal Modeling (Continued)

- **Combined conduction/convection case**
 - Calibrated isothermal flow and calibrated conduction only heat were used to define specified pressure and temperature at boundary
 - Coupled heat and flow model was run to steady state, but no joint calibration of temperature and flow was attempted. Yet 85 of 94 observations were matched within 10 degrees





Residual Temperatures for the Combined Conduction and Convection Case



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management YMRehfeldt_NWTRB_03/9-10/04



- Multiple independent lines of evidence corroborate the calculations of the site scale models and increase confidence in the model results
 - Calibrated data and parameters
 - water levels
 - hydraulic conductivity
 - boundary fluxes
 - Validation data
 - Nye County wells water levels
 - Alluvial Testing Complex hydraulic conductivity
 - Hydrochemistry
 - Thermal modeling





Backup





Water Levels Calibration to Observed Values





Simulated and Observed Head Gradient Along a Flow Path





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management YMRehfeldt_NWTRB_03/9-10/04

Hydraulic Properties - Yucca Mountain









Correspondence to Regional Flow

Boundary Zone	Regional Flux (10 ⁶ m ³ /yr)	Model Flux (10 ⁶ m ³ /yr)
Northern Boundary	-6.1	-5.4
Western Boundary	-3.7	-0.1
Eastern Boundary	-17.7	-16.4
Southern Boundary	28.9	22.8

Based on 1997 regional model fluxes

