

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management



Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22

Presented to: Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

Presented by: Joe H. Payer Case Western Reserve University

May 18-19, 2004 Washington D.C.

Acknowledgements

Evolution of environment

- Greg Gdowski, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Susan Carroll, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Tom Wolery, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Corrosion testing, analysis and industrial experience
 - Tammy Summers, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 - Raul Rebak, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 - Gabriel llevbare, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 - Tiangan Lian, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 - Chris Orme, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Materials testing and industrial experience
 - Pasu Pasupathi, Bechtel SAIC Company
 - Gerald Gordon, Bechtel SAIC Company ٠





Corrosion: Outline/Sections

- Alloy 22 is Highly Corrosion Resistant
- Yucca Mountain from a Corrosion Perspective
- **Corrosion Rate of Passive Metal-Experimental Measurements**
- **Corrosion Background and Perspectives**
- **Corrosion Conditions at Key Time Periods in Repository**
- Localized Corrosion
 - Corrosion Processes and Controlling Parameters
 - Localized Corrosion: Experimental Measurements of **Corrosion Resistance**
- **Corrosion Analysis During Period IV-Cool Down/Dripping and** Seepage Possible
- Conclusions





Alloy 22 is Highly Corrosion Resistant





Alloy 22 is Highly Corrosion Resistant

- Corrosion behavior is controlled by <u>corrosion</u> <u>resistance of material</u> of construction and <u>corrosivity</u> <u>of the environment</u>.
 - Alloy 22 for waste packages and titanium for drip shields are highly corrosion resistant materials
 - Ambient environment at Yucca Mountain Repository is benign
 - Waste packages sit in air; full immersion in water will not occur
 - Dilute waters in mountain are non-corrosive
 - Highly concentrated waters at elevated temperature show a range of corrosivity
- Determine the corrosion resistance of Alloy 22 exposed to realistic conditions within the repository





Background on Ni-Cr-Mo Alloys

• Alloy 22 belongs to a family of Ni-Cr-Mo alloys

- Earlier alloys include C-276 and C-4 and later alloys include: Inconel 686, Alloy 59, Hastelloy C-2000 and MAT-21
- Alloy 22 (N06022) is a solid solution of Ni, Cr, Mo and W as the main alloying elements
- Cr-Mo-W in Alloy 22 act synergistically to provide resistance to localized corrosion such as crevice corrosion
- Large Industrial equipment in service for many years in harsh environments without corrosion
 - Alloy 22 has great toughness and over 50% elongation before failure
 - Can be hot or cold formed and is weldable by many methods
 - Can be fabricated into large structures and components





Alloy 22 is Highly Corrosion Resistant



Alloy 22 has one of the lowest corrosion rates, in the same order of 686, 59 and C-2000

- At 0.1 µm/yr, over 10,000 years per mm
- At 0.004 mils/yr, over 30,000 years per 1/8 inch
- Alloy 600, widely used in steam generators in nuclear power plants. In highly aggressive solutions tested here. Alloy 600 suffers severe pitting and a high corrosion rate

Alloy 22 offers the highest resistance to pitting corrosion (greater than 120°C)

- **Critical Pitting Temperature (CPT) defines lower** limit; no pitting corrosion at lower temperatures
 - Test Solution called "Green Death"
 - Highly oxidizing (Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺)
 - Highly acidic (pH <0)
 - Concentrated chlorides (0.7 M)
- Green Death decomposes above 120°C





Industrial Experience in Harsh Environments



Pulp and Paper Bleach Washer

- Fabricated in 1987 using C-22 material
- Went into service for International Paper plant in Texarkana
- Operation in highly oxidizing wet chlorine and chlorine dioxide solutions

Agitator in Bleach Plant

C-22 Agitator installed in 1985

Environment with Chlorine and Chlorine Dioxide, up to 5000 ppm Chloride, temperature up to 60°C

Other alloys such as 904L, 317L SS and 254SMO corroded rapidly

Mixed Waste Incinerator at Los Alamos

Alloy Selected by Waste Management Group of the Department of Energy (DOE)

Gaseous Effluents from Incinerator are treated in a Spray Quench Tower, a venturi scrubber and a packed absorber tower

Tests were carried out in "worst case scenario" to replace previous fiberglass reinforced polyester (FRP)

3 M NaCl + 0.1MFeCl₃ + 0.1 M NaF adjusted to pH 1 with 10 M HCl/1 M H2SO₄ at 75°C for 39 days Best combination: C-22 welded with C-22





FGD Scrubber in the UK Drax Power Station and Others



- 4000 MW, the largest in the UK
- The first fitted with a FGD (Flue Gas Desulfurization) to eliminate SO₂ and NOx
- Coal contains up to 2.8% S and 0.4% Cl
- Gases from 130°C to 80°C, 30,000 ppm CI (~1M)
- C-22 lining (wall papering) of 9 m diameter ductwork. More than 1,000,000 lb of C-22 Used

Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD Scrubbers)

- Cleans exhaust from coal-fired power plants
- Scrubs acid gases: SO₂, NOx, ...
- High temperature, oxidizing, acidic solutions
- Periodic table of elements in coal
- Multi-species and complex solutions

Electrochemical tests predicted high corrosion resistance and correct ranking of metals

<u>Heat Exchanger in Wet Scrubber in Hamburg, Germany</u> Tube Sheet in Heat Exchanger for Municipal Incinerator Wet Scrubber to Clean Stack Gases, Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfide Effluent Gases from 220°C to 350°C Scrubbed Gases are reheated to 100-140°C using C-22 Heat Exchangers

Lower Colorado River Scrubber

434 MW Plant in the Lower Colorado River Authority Started Operations in March 1988 Wet Limestone Scrubbing System to Remove 70% of SO₂ Over 31,000 sq ft of C-22 used (95,000 lb)

C-22 used to line the scrubber absorber inlet and outlet ducts





Yucca Mountain from a **Corrosion Perspective**





Natural System of Yucca Mountain



Important Factors

- Waste packages are isolated beneath ~300 meters of rock and 300 meters above the water table.
- **Repository in Unsaturated Zone**
 - Fractured porous rock
 - Pores partially filled with water
- Atmospheric pressure
- Relative Humidity ranges from low to high; limited dripping
- Ambient waters are dilute and near neutral pH
- Highly concentrated waters can form under repository conditions





Attributes of Yucca Mountain Repository



Medium Temperature Waste Package

1000

Time (yr)

100

- One long, slow cycle of heating to modest temperature and cooling to ambient
- Waste packages sit in air on support pallets
- No imposed loads; no internal pressure and no moving parts
- No rapid thermal expansion and contraction
 - Low heat fluxes
 - Slow heating and cooling
 - Modest thermal gradients
 - Heat and radiation from waste decrease with time
 - Radiation effects at waste package surface negligible after a few hundred years
 - Packages cool to ambient over several thousands of years
 - Limited amount of water moving through the rock
 - Limited salts and minerals carried into drifts by incoming water and dust





40

20

٥

10

10000

20

10

100000

Relevance of Corrosion Test Methods

Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TGA)







Open; air circulation; Temp controllable to 150°C and higher; RH controllable 20-100%;,

Open, air circulation; Wide range of Temp, RH of interest: Temp to 150°C: RH 20-100%



TGA monitors absorption of water by salt minerals, solution stability and corrosion.



Distill/Reflux Irrelevant to Repository conditions

Closed; Refluxing; 100% RH-Saturated, Condensing, no CO₂, boiling temp



Attributes of Yucca Mountain Repository

- A particular challenge for the analysis is the extraordinarily long time period required for performance
 - **Operational phase of 50 years for emplacement of waste packages**
 - Monitoring phase up to 300 years.
 - Closure phase and Regulatory period of 10,000 years. Projected performance to 20,000 yrs and longer
- Important to consider not only the conditions that could initiate a process, but also the time period over which those conditions persist
- **Relevant Periods:**
 - I-Emplacement of waste packages and preclosure
 - II-Heat Up after closure ____
 - III-Cool down/Thermal Barrier (drift wall above boiling temperature)
 - **IV-Cool Down/Dripping and Seepage Possible**
 - V-Waste Packages below Critical Temperature for Corrosion





Corrosion Resistance is Crucial to Waste Package Performance

- Radionuclides are fully isolated if there are no penetrations
 - Even penetrated package can limit radionuclide movement
- Corrosion rate of passive metals are extremely low
 - Realistic rates are less than 1 μm/yr (a millionth of a meter per year) and much less
 - Alloy 22 layer is 2-cm thick (a stack of 12 U.S. Quarters)
- Analysis of the potential for damage by corrosion is crucial and a major effort has been undertaken
 - Can corrosive environments form and persist?
 - Will localized corrosion start and persist?
 - What damage would result?



1600 to 160,000 years to penetrate the thickness of one U.S. Quarter

For realistic passive corrosion rates of 1 μ m/yr to 0.01 μ m/yr and less



www.ocrwm.doe.gov 15

Corrosion Rate of Passive Metal Experimental Measurements





Extensive Corrosion Test Facilities



Thousands of corrosion samples are exposed to high-temperature saturated brines in the Long Term Corrosion Test Facility



Arrays of potentiostats are used to measure threshold potentials for localized corrosion & the time-evolution of the corrosion potential

Number of Corrosion Tests

Localized Corrosion			
Long Term OCP	>200		
Cyclic Polarization	>300		
<u>General Corrosion</u>			
<u>General Corrosion</u> Weight Loss	>13,000		



Long-term open circuit potential testing





Measuring General Corrosion Rates

- Immersion Tests
 - Weight (mass) loss
 - Accepted broadly in industrial applications
- **Electrochemical Tests**
 - Measurement of R_{D} (Polarization Resistance ASTM G 59 or AC Impedance G 106)
 - Constant Potential Tests
- Electrochemical testing may be a fast tool, for example, to determine the effect of environmental variables such as temperature, nitrate, etc., but often yields corrosion rates higher than the actual values for longer exposures





Ranges of Corrosion Rates

- In 1000X Ambient Water, ~0.8mCl, ~0.4m NO₃, Acidified to pH2.8 (SAW) Corrosion Rate at 90°C
 - 1-h Immersion (Deaerated), freshly polished rate = 1.5 μm/year
 - 1 week in aerated solution rate = 0.1 µm/year
- In Aerated 1 M NaCl, pH 2 Solution at 95°C
 - 1-h immersion rate = 2.1 μm/year
 - 30-h immersion, rate = 0.2 μ m/year
- Boiling 3.5% NaCl, 96 h Immersion Testing
 - rate = < 2.5 μm/year</p>





Low Passive Corrosion Rate (5+ year tests)

- Simulated Acidified Water SAW => 1000X Ambient Water, ~0.8mCl, 0.4 mNO₃ Acidified to pH 2.8 (SAW)
- Simulated Concentrated Water SCW => 1000X Ambient Water, ~0.2mCl, 0.1 mNO₃ pH 10 (SCW)
- Basic Saturated Water BSW =>

18,000X Ambient Water, ~3.7 mCl, 2.4mNO₃ pH 11-13 (BSW)

• Corrosion rate approximately 0.01 µm/year and independent of temperature and solution composition.



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Passive Corrosion Rates Remain Low Up to 160°C in the Presence of Nitrate





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Alloy 22 Corrosion Rates by Weight Loss in Autoclave Testing

Solution		Corrosion Rate (µm/yr.)			
NO ₃ /CI	Total	Temperature °C			
	Molality	120	140	160	220
0.05	8.4	-	<0.02 [#]	-	-
0.31	21.2	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	0.02 [#]
0.5	6.7	-	0.06 [#]	-	-
6.7	9.6	<0.02*	0.13*	0.13*	-

- * Exposure time: 157 days
- # Exposure time: 130 days
- These Na and K base environments cannot exist under Yucca Mountain conditions

There is no significant general corrosion of Alloy 22 in saturated salt brines at temperatures between 120°C and 220°C





Conclusions From Passive Corrosion

- In a wide range of temperatures (to 160°C and above) and solution composition (even concentrated brines), the short term corrosion rate is 2 µm/year and much less
- Corrosion rate decreases with time to the order of 0.01 µm/year and less
- Nitrate not only inhibits localized corrosion, it also reduces the general corrosion rate
- All corrosion testing methods consistently measure comparable corrosion rates





Corrosion Background and Perspectives





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Factors that Protect Against Corrosion



- **Thermal barrier**
 - Drift wall above boiling temperature
 - No drips onto waste package
 - **Capillary barrier**
 - Water retained by unsaturated rock
 - No drips onto waste package
- **Drip shield**
 - No drips onto waste package
- **Highly corrosion resistant** Alloy 22
 - No significant corrosion in absence of dripping
 - No corrosion in a wide range of waters resulting from dripping





Limited Amount of Water for Damage to Waste Packages and Transport of Radionuclides

- No large accumulation of water is formed above repository
 - Less than 1 liter per meter length of drift
 - Fractures near the dry zone are not filled with water
 - Increase from ambient of 5-10 % saturation to ~15% saturation during the thermal period
- Drips or seepage into drifts is limited
 - 10-30% of packages would see seepage if no drip shield
 - Seepage water per year over a waste package
 - 0-600 years
 <2 liter/yr
 1000:1 = 2 ml/yr
 (current climate)
 - 600-2000 yrs ~20 liter/yr 1000:1 = 20 ml/yr (climate change)
 - After 2000 yrs ~40 liter/yr 1000:1 = 40 ml/yr (climate change)

Note: 15 ml/yr = 1 table-spoon/year solution on waste package



Two Relevant Moisture Conditions for Corrosion

- Deliquescence/Condensation Conditions
 - Describe behavior when there is no dripping of water onto a waste package
 - Effective control by thermal barrier, capillary barrier and drip shield
 - Pertains when any of the three above are operative
- Dripping and Seepage Conditions
 - Describe behavior when water may drip onto waste package
 - Only pertain when thermal barrier, capillary barrier and drip shield are simultaneously inoperative

Consider these conditions through the thermal pulse period at the repository

- Evolution of environment (waters on metal surface)
- Evolution of corrosion damage (likelihood, extent and distribution of corrosion, and consequences of any penetrations)



Temperature/Relative Humidity for 10,000 Years and Beyond

- Relevant periods regards corrosion:
 - I Emplacement of waste packages and preclosure
 - II Heat up after closure
 - III Cool down/thermal barrier (drift wall above boiling temperature)
 - IV Cool down/dripping and seepage possible
 - V Waste packages below critical temperature for corrosion

Periods are determined by

- (a) Temp-RH over time,
- (b) Time when drift wall reaches 96°C and
- (c) Critical Corrosion Temp for Alloy 22



Medium Temperature Waste Package

1000 Time (yr)

100



10000

10

+ 0

100000

Corrosion Conditions at Key Time Periods in Repository





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1 NWTRB 051904 rev1.ppt

Relevant Time Periods Regards Corrosion



For Conditions Below

Temp-Relative Humidity behavior as shown

Waste Package at 101°C when Drift Wall cooled to 96°C



Critical Corrosion Temp 90°C

- Start to Year 50
- II-Heat up

- Year 50 to ~65
- III-Thermal barrier
 - Year ~65 to 750
- IV-Cool down postthermal barrier
 - Year 750 to 1375
- V-Packages below critical corrosion temperature
 - Year 1375 and beyond



Key Time Periods

I - Emplacement of Waste Packages and Preclosure

- Ventilation throughout period
- Waste packages are cool
- Dust can accumulate from tunneling and emplacement
- Dust can be ingested during ventilation
- Metal surfaces dry
- No corrosion





0 to 50 years; preclosure

Key Time Periods II-Heat Up After Closure

Dry-zone from ventilation

Thermal barrier (when rock above boiling)

Capillary barrier + drip shield

Highly corrosion resistant alloy

- No dripping or seepage onto waste package
- Dust from preclosure on metal surfaces
- Only source of "new" dust is drift degradation (limited amounts)
- Temp low and increasing
- Relative humidity decreasing

Consider deliquescence effects

- Dust constituents alterations
- Corrosion None to negligible

Year 50 for 10-15 yrs: Heat-up





www.ocrwm.doe.gov 32

Key Time Periods III-Cool Down/Thermal Barrier (Drift Wall Above Boiling Temperature)

- Thermal barrier active
- No dripping/seepage onto waste package
- No condensation; waste package hotter than drift wall
- Deliquescence conditions pertain
- Dust only source of salts for formation and evolution of waters
- Corrosive salts limited
- Calcium/Magnesium chloride solutions unstable
- Nitrates in dust
- No significant corrosion

Drift Wall cooled to 96°C; Waste Package at 101°C at year 750





Key Time Periods

IV-Cool Down/Dripping and Seepage Possible

Capillary barrier + Drip Shield +Highly corrosion resistant alloy

- When drift wall below boiling temperature (96°C) dripping and seepage can occur
 - Isolated locations along drifts
 - Time variant and episodic
- Dripping onto waste package can occur
 - Where both capillary barrier and drip shield are inoperative
 - And dripping location is in alignment
- When these conditions are met and If waste package temperature above critical corrosion temperature
 - Then, follow local corrosion
 logio/fauldilingeaf9rada50agaste
 grodagion 101°C: relative humidity 65%

Waste Package at 90°C at year 1375: relative humidity 84%

For drift wall at boiling at year 750; Critical Corr Temp 90°C year 1375





Key Time Periods V-Waste Packages Below Critical Temperatures for Corrosion

- Waste package surface below critical corrosion temperature
- No localized corrosion of Alloy 22
- Passive corrosion rate pertains
 - 16,000 years to penetrate the thickness of one U.S. Quarter (at 0.01 μm/yr)
 - Even lower rates feasible

For Critical Corrosion Temperature 90°C Passive Corrosion only after year 1375





Summary of Corrosion Analysis for Key Time Periods in Repository

I-Preclosure (e.g. Start to Year 50)

II-Heat up (e.g. Year 50 to ~65)

No corrosion*

No corrosion*

III-Thermal barrier (e,g. Year ~65 to 750)

No corrosion*

IV-Cool Down Post-Thermal Barrier (e.g. Year 750 to 1375)

- Dripping onto waste package can occur, where both capillary barrier and drip shield are inoperative, and dripping location is in alignment
- When these conditions are met and if waste package surface is above critical corrosion temperature
- Then, follow Crevice Corrosion Logic Tree and Localized Corrosion Logic/Fault Tree to determine damage evolution

V-Packages below Critical Corrosion Temp (e.g. Year 1375 and beyond)

No corrosion*

* No Corrosion = no significant damage to waste package


Localized Corrosion

Corrosion Processes and Controlling Parameters Experimental Measurements of Corrosion Resistance





Susceptible Zone for Localized Corrosion

"?" Zone

- **Correlate with Repository Conditions**
 - How do these form?
 - When, where, how much?
 - Will environments persist?
 - Can they reform?

"?" Defines the Susceptible Zone



Additional Requirements for Corrosion

- Water must contact waste package
- Water must remain on waste package
- Corrosive species must be present to form electrolyte
- Material must be susceptible to corrosion under these conditions
- Conditions must persist over sufficiently long time





Susceptible Zones Only at Intermediate Temperatures

T1-Metal surface is dry, no corrosion

T2-Aggressive solutions possible

T3-Aggressive solutions possible

T4-No localized corrosion

T5-No localized corrosion





-ower Temperature

Environment and Materials Factors Affect the Susceptible Zone



Localized Corrosion Processes



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Variables for Crevice Corrosion

- Passive metals such as Alloy 22 and Titanium are susceptible to crevice corrosion under extreme conditions
- Crevice corrosion depends strongly on
 - Chloride concentration (Cl⁻)
 - Temperature (T)
 - Potential (E)
 - Acidity (pH)
 - Crevice geometry (tightness)
- The higher the CI, T, E, the lower the pH, and tighter the crevice geometry, lower the resistance of alloys to crevice corrosion





Factors Affecting Localized Corrosion Processes





Localized Corrosion: Initiation, Propagation, Stifling and Arrest

- Identify factors affecting localized corrosion processes
- Assess requirements in form of Logic/Fault Tree
- Consider initiation, propagation, stifling and arrest
 - Crevice formers: Teflon, metal/metal, rock-dust-ceramic
 - Formation and retention of critical crevice chemistry
 - Power Law for Corrosion Rate





Crevice Formers

- **Critical crevice temperature testing of Alloy 22 in Ferric** Chloride showed
 - Crevice corrosion with PTFE washers (more severe)
 - Absence of crevice corrosion using metal-metal washers
- **Crevice Corrosion in Alloy 22 found using ceramic** washers covered by PTFE tape or coated with PTFE with a torgue applied of 70 lb.inch
- Dust accumulation or rocks on Alloy 22 do not produce the same extent of crevice corrosion as severe crevice formers in the laboratory

Crevices do not exist over the great extent of the waste package surface





Critical Crevice Solution Measurements

Critical crevice solution measurements indicate solution severity, (i.e. lower pH, higher [CI⁻]) needed for crevice propagation increases with Cr, Mo and Ni content

Alloy	%Cr-%Mo-%Ni	Critical pH	Critical Cl ⁻ , M	Reference
430 Stainless steel	16Cr-0Mo-0.2Ni	2.90	1*	Lillard et al
304 Stainless steel	19Cr-0Mo-9Ni	2.10	2*	Lillard et al
316 Stainless steel	18Cr-2.5Mo-10Ni	1.65	4	Oldfield & Sutton
Alloy 625	21.5Cr-9.0Mo-61Ni	-0.5 to 0	6 - 8	Oldfield & Sutton
-				

* Calculated

- Alloy 22 is more corrosion resistant than Alloy 625
- Extremely aggressive solution required for crevice corrosion of Alloy 22, and extremely tight crevices are required to sustain the severe solution





Power Law Model for Stifling

 This is an empirical model where the depth of penetration by localized corrosion decreases with time according to

 $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{k} \mathbf{t}^n$

Where P is maximum depth of penetration, and k and n are empirical coefficients

- The values for the coefficients have been determined from corrosion data available on alloys such as C-4, C-276 and C-22.
 - k is growth constant parameter dependant upon material characteristics
 - n is time exponent with typical values ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 with lower values accounting for repassivation of the corroding sites



Expected Localized Corrosion Propagation Rate



- Literature consistent with time dependent crevice (and pitting) corrosion propagation rate. The rate slows significantly with time.
 - Depth = ktⁿ
 - Where k and n are constants related to alloy and environmental conditions
 - For a diffusion controlled corrosion process, n = 0.5
 - However, for many alloy/environment systems, n < 0.5 due to processes such as
 - » corrosion product plugging,
 - » inability to maintain critical crevice chemistry with increasing depth
 - » cathodic area limitations
 - » limited brine solution availability

Alloy 22 propagation results limited, but wide range of alloys show similar behavior, e.g. Alloy 600, Titanium Gr 2, & carbon steel

'n' found to vary from ~0.02 to 0.5 for range of conditions



Localized Corrosion Logic/Fault Tree

- A) Determine corrosion behavior in types of waters
- B) Determine types of waters during relevant periods

T-RH formation of water over time

Seepage waters and Deliquescent waters

Evolution of waters with time; Trajectory of corrosiveness of waters

Waters in dust, deposits, crevices

If A) and B) allow local corrosion:

C) Is Ecorr positive enough to initiate and support local corrosion?

If A), B) and C)

D) Are crevices present of sufficient severity

If A), B), C) and D)) support local corrosion:

E) Will localized corrosion persist?

Consider propagation, stifle and arrest

If from E) corrosion persists

What is evolution of damage?

-rate of corrosion and penetration



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt **Requirements for Damage**

Do conditions exist?

Will corrosion occur?

Will corrosion persist?

What damage results?

Consider all of the above during the Five Relevant Periods of the Repository



Localized Corrosion Experimental Measurements of Corrosion Resistance





Localized Corrosion Testing



Immersion Testing ASTM G 31 Long Term Corrosion **Test Facility (LTCTF)**



Electrochemical Methods

- Cyclic Potentiodynamic Polarization (CPP) (ASTM G 61)
- Tsujikawa Hisamatsu Electrochemical (THE)





Teflon sample holder

Specimens Ceramic + PTFE 70 lb.in Torque **Multiple Crevice Assemblies** (MCA or PCA) Welded (GTAW) and Non-Welded



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1 NWTRB 051904 rev1.ppt

Aqueous Solution Types



- **Ambient Waters:**
 - Dilute solutions
 - Na-Ca-Mg-HCO₃-CO₃-Cl- $NO_3 - SO_4$
 - Near neutral pH
- Waters can be concentrated
 - Modified during movement
 - Thermal-chemical processes
- Modifications on waste package surface
- Chemical and electrochemical processes





Corrosion Behavior of Alloy 22 Welds in Dilute and Carbonate Brines



No Localized Corrosion



Expected Corrosion Behavior in Na, K, Cl, NO₃ Brines, and Sulfate Brines

• Waters with modest nitrate levels

- Localized corrosion not observed
- As-welded Alloy 22 long-term corrosion potential below critical crevice potential for nitrate: chloride between 0.05 to 0.5
- No localized corrosion observed in cyclic polarization testing of Alloy 22 welds in high-nitrate brines
- Waters with low nitrate levels
 - Localized corrosion is possible
 - Crevice corrosion was initiated by polarization into susceptible zone
 - When initiated, crevice corrosion current decreased markedly with time (stifling observed)







Solution Chemistry Principles



Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1 NWTRB 051904 rev1.ppt

CaCl2 (BP)

Nitrate Inhibition of Localized Corrosion in Alloy 22 Welds

Cyclic Polarization Curve for Alloy 22 MCA Weld in

6 m NaCl + 0.3 m KNO₃ @ 100°C



- Inhibition with
 - $NO_3:CI > 0.5$ at 100°C
 - NO₃:CI > 0.15 at 80°C

NaCl	NaNO3	CI:NO3	Temperature (°C)	E _{corr} (V, SSC) (at 24hr)	E _{crit} (V, SSC) (at 1µAcm-2)
1	0.05	0.05	80	-0.438	0.034
1	0.15	0.15	80	-0.494	0.304
1	0.5	0.5	80	-0.462	0.329
1	0.05	0.05	100	-0.526	-0.110
1	0.15	0.15	100	-0.497	-0.014
1	0.5	0.5	100	-0.470	0.306
3.5	0.175	0.05	80	-0.489	-0.054
3.5	0.35	0.1	80	-0.549	0.187
3.5	0.525	0.15	80	-0.477	0.410
3.5	0.7	0.2	80	-0.516	0.301
3.5	1.05	0.3	80	-0.469	0.312
3.5	1.75	0.5	80	-0.466	0.320
3.5	0.175	0.05	100	-0.553	-0.110
3.5	0.525	0.15	100	-0.524	-0.065
3.5	1.75	0.5	100	-0.446	0.345
6	0.3	0.05	80	-0.472	-0.059
6	0.9	0.15	80	-0.478	0.253
6	3	0.5	80	-0.391	0.418
6	0.3	0.05	100	-0.531	-0.099
6	0.9	0.15	100	-0.505	-0.048
6	3	0.5	100	-0.298	0.308





Nitrate Inhibition of Localized Corrosion in Alloy 22 Welds

At temperatures 80°C and 100°C the Critical Crevice Potential is Higher than the Corrosion Potential for Alloy 22 Welds in 6 m NaCl Solutions



- Inhibition with
 - NO₃:CI > 0.5 at 100°C
 - $NO_3:CI > 0.15$ at 80°C
 - Chloride concentration (1m, 3.5m and 6m) had only secondary effect on Ecrit
 - Long-term corrosion potential approaching Ecrit at low NO₃:Cl and high temperature
 - Sulfate, if present, can be beneficial





Project Values of Repassivation Potential Compare Conservatively to CNWRA Values



No Localized Corrosion Observed in High-Nitrate, NaCl Brines



Cyclic Polarization Curve for Alloy 22 MCA Weld in 5.8 m NaCl + 18.2 m KNO₃ + 2.4 m NaNO₃ @ 100°C



Cyclic Polarization Curve for Alloy 22 MCA Weld in 5.8 m NaCl + 18.2 m KNO₃ + 2.4 m NaNO₃ @ 115°C



www.ocrwm.doe.gov

Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1 NWTRB 051904 rev1.ppt

Evidence of Crevice Corrosion Stifling in Solutions with Low Nitrate, NaCl Brines



Localized corrosion initiated by potentiostatic polarization above Ecorr and into susceptible range

Crevice corrosion current decreased after initial spike indicating sharp drop in crevice corrosion rate

Cyclic Polarization Curve for Alloy 22 MCA Weld in 3.5 m NaCl + 0.175 m KNO₃ @ 100°C





Evidence of Crevice Corrosion Stifling in Alloy 22 Welds in NaCl Brines



Expected Corrosion Behavior in Calcium Chloride Brine



- Localized Corrosion not expected for nitrate: chloride greater than 0.5
 - Ca, Mg, Cl, NO₃ brines are highly unlikely in the repository
 - Even in these aggressive brines, nitrate is found to inhibit localized corrosion at nitrate to chloride levels of about 0.5
- Localized corrosion possible when nitrate: chloride is below ~0.5
- Nitrate found to inhibit localized corrosion even up to 160°C





Localized Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22 Creviced Welds in CaCl₂ Brines at High Temperature





www.ocrwm.doe.gov

Effect of the Temperature and Nitrate (Passive Corrosion Rate)



- **Rp via the Polarization Resistance Tests (G 59)**
- **Highly Concentrated** $CaCl_2 + Ca(NO_3)_2$ Brines
- **Temperatures between 100°C** and 160°C
- The Corrosion Rate increases with the Temperature
- The Lower the Cl⁻/NO₃⁻ Ratio at each Temperature the Lower the Corrosion Rate





Corrosion Analysis During Period IV-Cool Down/Dripping and Seepage Possible





Corrosion Considerations during Period IV

- Capillary barrier and drip shield prevent corrosion
- Seepage, distribution, % Waste Packages and amount
- Composition of waters seeping—composition, concentration, total molality
- Behavior at waste package surface
- Local corrosion logic/fault tree
- Crevice corrosion decision tree
- Initiation-stifle-arrest
- Damage evolution





Period IV Conditions for Mid, Hot and Cool Waste Packages





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1 NWTRB 051904 rev1.ppt

Period IV Analysis of T-RH-Solution Composition



Drift wall 96°C at 750 years; Waste Package at 101°C; Relative Humidity 65%

Critical Corrosion Temp 90°C at year 1375; Relative Humidity 85%



The Temp-RH at any time fixes the possible waters. Can follow the trajectory with time.

Number of non-corrosive solutions; Sodium chloride with low nitrate solutions can be corrosive



Crevice Corrosion Decision Tree





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Key Time Periods IV-Cool Down/Dripping and Seepage Possible

- <u>Follow local corrosion logic/fault tree for this;</u> always/everywhere is unrealistic but never/anywhere is not certain; so issues are how much?, where? and damage evolution and consequences.
- Use the Temp-RH behavior for waste package to determine time trajectory during this period
- Use thermodynamic solution constraints for aqueous phase
- Analyze conditions on waste package—consider temps; RH; amount of salts and water; mass balances
- Number of non-corrosive solutions; Sodium chloride with low nitrate solutions can be corrosive
- <u>Conclusion</u>: (a) Large areas--No significant corrosion (b) Isolated waste packages affected—Where, how much?





Conclusions





Department of Energy • Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management ymPayer1_NWTRB_051904_rev1.ppt

Conclusions Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22

- Alloy 22 is a highly corrosion resistant metal
 - Confirmed by laboratory evaluations in harsh environments
 - Verified by industrial experience, e.g. Flue Gas
 Scrubbers with high temperature, oxidizing strong acids, chlorides and complex, multi-species solutions
- Yucca Mountain Repository Conditions
 - Waste Packages sit in air
 - Ambient waters are dilute and non-corrosive
 - Highly concentrated waters can form under repository conditions





Conclusions Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22

- Corrosion resistance is crucial to waste package performance
- Passive metals (Alloy 22 and Titanium) have extremely low corrosion rates
 - Corrosion rates of passive Alloy 22 are measured to be 0.1 to 0.01 micron per year in long term exposures
 - At these rates, the thickness of a U.S. quarter lasts 1,600 to 160,000 years and waste packages are 12 quarters thick
 - Alloy 22 is passive over a wide range of realistic, repository conditions
 - Major effort was undertaken to examine the potential for damage by corrosion




Conclusions **Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22** (Continued)

- A hierarchy of factors prevent dripping onto waste packages
 - Thermal barrier, capillary barrier, and drip shield
 - Dripping is over a fraction of waste packages and the amount of seepage is small
- Where no drips fall upon waste packages
 - **Deliquescent corrosion conditions pertain**
 - No significant corrosion damage results
- Where drips fall upon waste packages
 - Evaluate the environment (waters) on the waste package and the likelihood of localized corrosion
 - Apply these evaluations to the relevant time periods of the repository





Conclusions **Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22** (Continued)

- Five relevant time periods were identified for repository
- Conditions to support localized corrosion are possible only during Period IV
 - Drift wall is below boiling
 - Waste package above critical corrosion temperature
 - No corrosion when capillary barrier or drip shield are operative
- Analyze conditions on waste package
 - Number of non-corrosive waters form
 - Sodium chloride waters with low nitrate can be corrosive
- Follow the logic/fault analysis for cases with corrosive waters





Conclusions Corrosion Resistance of Alloy 22

- No significant corrosion outside of Period IV
- Environments during Period IV
 - Potential to form corrosive waters on waste package restricted by Temperature-Relative Humidity
 - Distribution and volume of corrosive waters are limited
- Corrosion behavior for Period IV conditions
 - Alloy 22 has high corrosion resistance in many waters
 - Currently, localized corrosion is highly restricted, but can not be ruled out in all cases
 - Several factors stop and impede localized corrosion damage to Alloy 22
- Overall conclusion: (a) Large areas—no significant corrosion (b) Isolated waste packages may be affected
 - Always/everywhere is unrealistic
 - Never/anywhere is closer to reality but not certain



